THE USE OF A STUDENT MODEL IN A MULTIMEDIA APPLICATION TO CONFIGURE LEARNING

TREVOR BARKER

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the University of Hertfordshire for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

August 1999

THE USE OF A STUDENT MODEL IN A MULTIMEDIA APPLICATION TO CONFIGURE LEARNING

TREVOR BARKER

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the University of Hertfordshire for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The programme of research was carried out in the Department of Computer Science, University of Hertfordshire

August 1999

To the memory of my parents
Alfred and Nellie Barker
and to my brothers
Tony and Graham Barker

Contents

| Abstract | 1 |
|------------|--|
| Acknowle | dgements2 |
| 1 Introduc | ction to the project3 |
| 1.1 | Introduction3 |
| 1.2 | Hypothesis4 |
| 1.3 | Objectives4 |
| 1.4 | Stages in the project5 |
| 1.5 | Outline of the structure of the thesis6 |
| 2 Learnin | g and computers: a review of the literature11 |
| 2.1 | Introduction11 |
| 2.2 | Learning theories12 |
| | 2.2.1 Behaviourism13 |
| | 2.2.2 Limitations to Behaviourist psychology14 |
| | 2.2.3 Cognitive psychology15 |
| | 2.2.4 Limitations to cognitive psychology19 |
| 2.3 | Computer-Aided Learning (CAL)20 |
| | Multimedia in learning24 |
| 2.5 | Media issues27 |
| | 2.5.1 Text27 |
| | 2.5.2 Images27 |
| | 2.5.3 Video and animation28 |
| | 2.5.4 Sound28 |
| 2.6 | The design of multimedia for learning29 |
| 2.7 | The use of multimedia in learning32 |
| 2.8 | The individual and learning with multimedia36 |
| | 2.8.1 Learning styles and strategies36 |
| | 2.8.2 Student models39 |
| 2.9 | Discussion42 |
| 3 Explora | tory Studies47 |
| 3.1 | Introduction47 |
| 3.2 | Exploratory study 149 |
| | 3.2.1 Introduction49 |

| | 3.2.2 | Development of the materials | 51 |
|---|------------------|---|----|
| | | 3.2.2.1 Differentiation of materials | 51 |
| | 3.2.3 | Procedure | 52 |
| | 3.2.4 | Results | 53 |
| | | 3.2.4.1 Users' satisfaction | 53 |
| | | 3.2.4.2 Usability | 57 |
| | | 3.2.4.3 Pedagogy | 58 |
| | | 3.2.4.4 Performance | 61 |
| | | 3.2.4.5 Tutors' attitudes | 64 |
| | 3.2.5 | Conclusion | 65 |
| | 3.3 Explora | tory study 2 | 67 |
| | 3.3.1 | Introduction | 67 |
| | 3.3.2 | Selection of material for the exploratory study | 67 |
| | 3.3.3 | Specification of evaluation objectives | 69 |
| | 3.3.4 | Evaluation methods | 69 |
| | | 3.3.4.1 Expert evaluation | 69 |
| | | 3.3.4.2 Analysis of logged data | 70 |
| | | 3.3.4.3 Questionnaire methods | 71 |
| | | 3.3.4.4 Interview methods | |
| | | 3.3.4.5 Video methods | |
| | | Conclusion | |
| 4 | Investigation in | ito the effect of language on performance in a | à |
| | multimedia lea | rning application | 77 |
| | | ction | |
| | | ne importance of language in learning | |
| | • | oment of the software | |
| | | inguage level tool for computer text | |
| | | inguage testing tool for participants | |
| | | ultimedia application | |
| | · | nental method | |
| | 4.3.1 | Participants | |
| | _ | 4.3.1.1 Expert users | |
| | | Materials | |
| | 4.3.3 | Procedure | 88 |

| | 4.4 | Results. | | 90 |
|---|----------|------------|--|----------|
| | | 4.4.1 | Statistical analysis | 91 |
| | | | 4.4.1.1 Tests of assumptions | 91 |
| | | | 4.4.1.2 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) | 92 |
| | 4.5 | Discuss | ion | 97 |
| 5 | Investiç | gation in | to the effect of cognitive style on performa | тсе |
| | in a mu | Itimedia | learning application | 101 |
| | 5.1 | Introduc | tion | 101 |
| | | 5.1.1 | Cognitive style and learning styles | 102 |
| | | 5.1.2 | The dimensions of learning styles | 102 |
| | | 5.1.3 | $Who list/Analyst-Verbaliser\ / lmager\ system.$ | 103 |
| | | 5.1.4 | Implications for the design of courseware | 104 |
| | 5.2 | Method | | 106 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Design of the application | 106 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Participants | 107 |
| | | 5.2.3 | Experimental method | 108 |
| | | 5.2.4 | Materials | 109 |
| | 5.3 | Results | | 110 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Tests of significance | |
| | | | 5.3.1.1 Test of assumptions | |
| | | | 5.3.1.2 Analysis of variance | 114 |
| | | | 5.3.1.3 Non-parametric tests | 116 |
| | | | 5.3.1.4 The effect of Bimodal learners | 118 |
| | 5.4 | Discuss | ion | 120 |
| | | 5.4.1 | Implications for the individual configuration of | learning |
| | | | materials | 121 |
| 6 | A study | / into the | use of a student model in a multimedia | |
| | applica | tion: Int | roduction | 123 |
| | 6.1 | Introduct | iion | 123 |
| | | 6.1.1 | Aim of the final study | 124 |
| | | 6.1.2 | Overview of the final study | 124 |
| | 6.2 | A collab | orative student model | 125 |
| | 6.3 | Introduc | tion to the evaluation approach used | 129 |
| | | 6.3.1 | Qualitative and quantitative methodology | 130 |

| | 6.3.2 | Features of the final study | 131 |
|---|------------------|--|-----|
| | 6.3.3 | Selection of a qualitative methodology | 132 |
| | 6.4 Ground | ed Theory | 133 |
| | 6.4.1 | Stages in Grounded Theory | 135 |
| | | 6.4.1.1 Research question | 135 |
| | | 6.4.1.2 Data acquisition | 135 |
| | 6.4.2 | The relationship between theory and reality. | 139 |
| | 6.5 Summa | ry | 139 |
| 7 | A study into the | e use of a student model in a multimedia | |
| | application: Ma | aterials and method | 141 |
| | 7.1 Introduc | ction | 141 |
| | 7.2 Materia | ls | 142 |
| | 7.2.1 | Development of the application | |
| | | 7.2.1.1 Description of the course | |
| | | 7.2.1.2 Software development process | 143 |
| | | 7.2.1.3 Development and application | |
| | | of pedagogy | |
| | | 7.2.1.4 Technical details and tools used | 146 |
| | 7.2.2 | Development of the student model | |
| | | 7.2.2.1 Language level | |
| | | 7.2.2.2 Cognitive style | 148 |
| | | 7.2.2.3 Other variables | 149 |
| | | The use of a co-operative student model | |
| | | ed Theory research method | |
| | | Establishment of the main categories | |
| | | Related variables | |
| | | Refining relationships | |
| | | entation of the study | |
| | | Tutors | |
| | | Participants | |
| | 7.4.3 | Data collection | |
| | | 7.4.3.1 Video recordings | |
| | | 7/13/2 Interviews | 161 |

| | | 7.4.3.3 | Pre and post-tests | 162 |
|---|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| | | 7.4.3.4 | Data logging | 163 |
| | | 7.4.3.5 | Questionnaire | 163 |
| | | 7.4.3.6 | Tasks and questions | 164 |
| | | 7.4.3.7 | Focus groups | 164 |
| | 7.4 | .4 Staff eva | lluation | 165 |
| | | 7.4.4.1 | Staff diary | 166 |
| | | 7.4.4.2 | Staff interviews | 166 |
| | | 7.4.4.3 | Staff report | 167 |
| | 7.4 | .5 Expert re | eview | 167 |
| | 7.5 Final | report | | 168 |
| 8 | A study into t | the use of a | a student model in a multimedia | |
| | application: | Results | | 169 |
| | 8.1 Introd | luction | | 169 |
| | 8.2 Evalu | ations | | 170 |
| | 8.2 | .1 Video re | ecordings | 170 |
| | 8.2 | .2 Interview | ws with learners | 174 |
| | 8.2 | .3 Pre-test | and post-test results | 180 |
| | 8.2 | _ | gging | |
| | 8.2 | | nnaires | |
| | 8.2 | 6 Tasks a | nd questions | 187 |
| | 8.2 | .7 Focus g | roups | 189 |
| | 8.2 | .8 Staff dia | aries | 195 |
| | 8.2 | .9 Staff int | erviews | 197 |
| | 8.2 | .10 Staff re | port | 203 |
| | | 8.2.10.1 | Multimedia | 205 |
| | | 8.2.10.2 | The student model | 208 |
| | | 8.2.10.3 | Learning | 209 |
| | 8.2 | .11 Expert | review | 210 |
| | 8.2 | .12 Staff re | ports on the attitude and central | |
| | | role of | the institution to information | |
| | | and lea | rning technology (ILT) | 212 |
| | 8.3 Conc | lusion | | 214 |

| 9 | A study | / into the | use of a | a student model in a multimedi | a |
|---|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| | application: Discussion217 | | | | |
| | 9.1 Introduction217 | | | | |
| | 9.2 | The core | e categor | ý | 218 |
| | | 9.2.1 | The qua | lity of learning | 221 |
| | 9.3 | The stud | dent mod | el | 223 |
| | | 9.3.1 | Perform | ance – results | 224 |
| | | 9.3.2 | Compor | nents of the student model | 227 |
| | | | 9.3.2.1 | Language | 227 |
| | | | 9.3.2.2 | Cognitive style | 229 |
| | | | 9.3.2.3 | Task level | 232 |
| | | | 9.3.2.4 | Question level | 233 |
| | | | 9.3.2.5 | Scaffolding | 234 |
| | | 9.3.3 | The co- | operative student model | 236 |
| | | | 9.3.3.1 | Configuration of the variables | 238 |
| | | 9.3.4 | Summa | ry: student modelling | 241 |
| | 9.4 | Multime | dia mate | rials | 243 |
| | | 9.4.1 | Subject | content | 243 |
| | | | _ | features | |
| | | | | / | |
| | | 9.4.4 | Learning | g presentation strategy | 250 |
| | | | 9.4.4.1 | Collaborative working | 252 |
| | | | 9.4.4.2 | Context | 254 |
| | | | 9.4.4.3 | Differentiation for the individual. | 255 |
| | | | 9.4.4.4 | The use of questions | 256 |
| | | | 9.4.4.5 | The use of scaffolding | 258 |
| | | | 9.4.4.6 | The use of tasks | 259 |
| | | 9.4.5 | Summa | ry: multimedia materials | 261 |
| | 9.5 | The ma | nagemer | nt of learning | 261 |
| | | 9.5.1 | Tutors | | 262 |
| | | 9.5.2 | The lear | rning environment | 266 |
| | | | 9.5.2.1 | The microenvironment | 267 |
| | | | 9.5.2.2 | The macroenvironment | 270 |
| | | 9.5.3 | Summa | rv: the management of learning | 272 |

| | 9.6 Discussion273 |
|----|--|
| 10 | Conclusion277 |
| | 10.1 Reasons for undertaking this research277 |
| | 10.2 The findings of this research279 |
| | 10.2.1 The quality of learning279 |
| | 10.2.2 Language280 |
| | 10.2.3 Cognitive style281 |
| | 10.2.4 Student modelling283 |
| | 10.2.4.1 The role of the tutor286 |
| | 10.2.4.2 The components of the student model287 |
| | 10.2.4.3 The collaborative student model290 |
| | 10.2.4.4 The development of meta-level skills291 |
| | 10.2.4.5 Summary of the student model292 |
| | 10.2.5 Multimedia and learning292 |
| | 10.2.6 Management of learning294 |
| | 10.2.7 Summary295 |
| | 10.3 An evaluation of the Grounded Theory methodology295 |
| | 10.4 A framework for evaluation300 |
| | 10. 5 Future directions for research303 |
| | 10.5.1 Student modelling and multimedia303 |
| | 10.5.2 Practical application of this work306 |
| 11 | References307 |
| 12 | Appendices323 |
| 13 | Publications and presentations related to this thesis415 |

List of Tables

| 3.1 | Mean scores obtained in questionnaire on learners' |
|-----|--|
| | attitudes to the four multimedia-delivered courses56 |
| 3.2 | Performance on multimedia courses in the exploratory study 62 |
| 3.3 | Performance on non-multimedia courses in the year prior to exploratory study63 |
| 3.4 | Summary information for two users from user log files71 |
| 4.1 | Language scores and groups of participants85 |
| 4.2 | Additional language support, age and language test scores86 |
| 4.3 | Characteristics of expert users87 |
| 4.4 | Mean pre-test, post-test and re-test and user evaluation scores for participants90 |
| 4.5 | Mean pre-test, post-test and re-test and user evaluation scores for expert users91 |
| 4.6 | Tests of Within Subject Effects. Results of repeated measures ANOVA |
| 4.7 | Tests of Between Subject Effects. Results of repeated measures ANOVA93 |
| 4.8 | Post hoc pair-wise differences in the means for the data94 |

| 5.1 | Characteristics of participants undertaking the learning |
|-----|--|
| | styles and multimedia experiment108 |
| 5.2 | Mean scores obtained on the courses by groups |
| | characterised as Verbaliser, Bi-modal and Imager111 |
| 5.3 | Scores obtained in supported and non-supported sections |
| | of the course for Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers111 |
| 5.4 | Time spent in different sections of the course and |
| | evaluation score by Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers 112 |
| 5.5 | The percentage of Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers |
| | selecting text and image-based presentations in the |
| | chosen section of the course113 |
| 5.6 | Tests of Within Subject Effects. Results of |
| | repeated measures ANOVA performed on scores |
| | obtained by Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers115 |
| 5.7 | Tests of Between Subject Effects. Results of repeated |
| | measures ANOVA performed on scores obtained |
| | by Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers116 |
| 5.8 | Kruskall-Wallace analysis of user evaluation data |
| | for Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers groups117 |
| 5.9 | Tests of Within Subject Effects. Results of repeated |
| | measures ANOVA performed on scores obtained by |
| | Verbalisers and Imagers when Bi-modals are excluded119 |

| 6.1 | Table showing the relationship between the data |
|-----|---|
| | collection and analysis stages described in the following |
| | chapters and the Grounded Theory method138 |
| 7.1 | Table of student characteristics and the range of values |
| | held in the student model147 |
| 7.2 | Summary of participants taking part in the final study159 |
| 8.1 | Table of results showing ratings of multimedia sessions |
| | involving learners and tutors, based on video recordings171 |
| 8.2 | Analysis of scripted interview data for 24 learners following |
| | a multimedia course in six locations175 |
| 8.3 | Mean pre-test and post-test scores for learners |
| | on a multimedia course compared with learners |
| | undertaking a similar traditionally delivered |
| | course in the same location181 |
| 8.4 | Repeated measures analysis of variance performed |
| | on the data summarised in table 8.3, Mean pre-test |
| | and post-test scores, Within-Subject Effects182 |
| 8.5 | Summary of data from user log files of learners using |
| | the Application of Number multimedia application183 |
| | 8.5 a Verbalisers, Bi-modals and Imagers183 |
| | 8.5 b Language level184 |
| | 8.5 c Task level184 |
| | 8.5 d Question level184 |
| | 8.5 e Help level185 |

| 8.6 | Results of multimedia questionnaires completed by learners following the multimedia learning application at 6 locations |
|------|---|
| 8.7 | Relationship between performance on in-line tasks and questions in a multimedia course and the individual level set for the learner |
| 8.8 | Changes in on in-line tasks, questions and help levels during a multimedia course |
| 8.9 | Summary of information related to the Application of Number multimedia course contained in three staff diaries196 |
| 8.10 | Expert review of the learning application211 |
| 8.11 | Staff reports on attitude of institution to the integration of information and learning technology (ILT)213 |
| 8.12 | Staff reports on the central role of the organisation in information and learning technology (ILT)214 |
| 9.1 | A summary of the relationship between main categories, sub-categories and important factors relating to the quality of learning |
| 9.2 | King's (1993) question template used to design questions for the Application of Number course257 |
| 9.3 | The relationship between cognitive demand, motivation and environment260 |

List of Figures

| A1 | Language testing tool developed to test the | |
|------------|--|------------|
| | language level of computer text34 | 6 |
| A 2 | Output screen from language testing tool shown in figure A1, | |
| | showing approximate number of words tested34 | 6 |
| A3 | Language testing tool showing SMOG level of | |
| | text passage34 | .7 |
| A4 | Simple instructions for users of text language level | |
| | testing tool34 | -7 |
| A 5 | Sample screen from language testing tool for | |
| | participants34 | ŀ / |
| A6 | Multiple choice answer screen relating to listening | |
| | test in language testing tool for participants34 | 8 |
| A7 | Gapping test used to measure reading skill | |
| | level in language testing tool for participants34 | ₽8 |
| 8A | Introductory screen for the application developed | |
| | and used in experiment 235 |) [|
| A9 | Text-based section of the application developed | |
| | and used in experiment 235 | 57 |
| A10 | Image-based section of the application developed | |
| | and used in experiment 235 | 57 |

| A11 | Summary of story presented as narrative in the application developed and used in experiment 2358 |
|-----|---|
| A12 | Summary of story presented as image sequence in the application developed and used in experiment 2358 |
| A13 | Question about the story presented in text format359 |
| A14 | Question about the story presented in image format359 |
| A15 | Sample screen from multimedia user attitude questionnaire used in experiment 2 |
| A16 | Sample screen from multimedia user attitude questionnaire used in the final study |
| A17 | Log in screen for the Application of Number multimedia course |
| A18 | Screen allowing selection of background skills in the Application of Number multimedia course |
| A19 | Map allowing simple location, orientation and navigation within the course403 |
| A20 | Setting the scene for an assignment. High quality video related to assignment one - Going Shopping404 |
| A21 | Skills grid to help staff and learners to organise and plan their work in the Application of Number course405 |
| A22 | Working through an example. Help is available only for those that want it406 |

| A23 | Level 1 task header screen408 |
|-----|--|
| A24 | Level 1 task screens409 |
| A25 | Level 1 question screen410 |
| A26 | High and Low level language screens412 |
| A27 | Level 1 help screen413 |
| A28 | Level 2 help screen413 |
| A29 | Level 3 help screen414 |

List of Appendices

| 1 | Examples of tasks and questions used in exploratory study 1 | 323 |
|----|---|-----|
| 2 | Questionnaire used in exploratory study 1 | 326 |
| 3 | Evaluation objectives developed in exploratory study 2 | 329 |
| 4 | Expert evaluation tool developed in exploratory study 2 | 331 |
| 5 | Data log file format developed in exploratory study 2 | 334 |
| 6 | Questionnaire developed in exploratory study 2 | 336 |
| 7 | Interview script developed in exploratory study 2 | 340 |
| 8 | Video script developed in exploratory study 2 | 343 |
| 9 | Language tools developed for experiment 4 | 345 |
| 10 | Example pre-test, post-test, re-test, and user evaluation | |
| | and expert evaluation questions used in experiment 1 | 349 |
| 11 | Example of the interview used in expert | |
| | evaluation in experiment 1 | 354 |
| 12 | Text-based and image-based sections of the | |
| | course developed in experiment 2 | 356 |
| 13 | Multimedia questionnaire used in experiment 2 | 360 |
| 14 | Questionnaires and results used in the | |
| | preliminary study | 363 |
| 15 | Hierarchical structure developed in the final study | 366 |
| 16 | Guidelines for the preliminary staff report described | |
| | in chapter 7 | 375 |
| 17 | Scripts used for video recording sessions | |
| | described in chapter 7 | 377 |
| 18 | Task scripts for video recording sessions | |
| | described in chapter 7 | 379 |
| 19 | Student interview script described in chapter 7 | 381 |
| 20 | Example pre-test and post-test questions used | |
| | in the final study, described in chapter 7 | 384 |
| 21 | Learner questionnaire used in the final study, | |
| | described in chapter 7 | 386 |

| 22 | Focus group tool used in the final study, | |
|----|---|-----|
| | described in chapter 7 | 389 |
| 23 | Staff interview script described in chapter 7 | 391 |
| 24 | Staff report used in the final study, | |
| | described in chapter 7 | 393 |
| 25 | Expert review guidelines used in the final | |
| | study, described in chapter 7 | 396 |
| 26 | Sample screens from the Application | |
| | of Number course | 400 |
| 27 | Examples of differentiation used in the | |
| | final study | 407 |

List of Publications and Presentations

- The creation of task-based differentiated learning materials for students with learning difficulties and/or disabilities.

 Trevor Barker, Sara Jones, Carol Britton and David Messer Proceedings of CAL97, University of Exeter, March 1997.
- Evaluation of Horizon Multimedia Learning Materials for Students with Learning Difficulties and Disabilities Trevor Barker, Sara Jones, Carol Britton and David Messer Presented at ALTC-97 University of Wolverhampton, September, 1997
- 3 Creating Horizon multimedia learning applications to support students with learning difficulties and disabilities. Trevor Barker Proceedings of EURO EDUCATION 98 Conference, Aalborg Denmark, May 1998
- 4 Investigation into the effect of Language on Performance in a Multimedia Food Studies Application.
 Trevor Barker, Sara Jones, Carol Britton and David Messer

To be presented at Interact 99, Edinburgh, September 1999

Report of a pilot study of an individually configurable multimedia learning prototype based on a co-operative student model of learner characteristics.

Trevor Barker, Sara Jones, Carol Britton and David Messer To be presented at ALT-C99, September 1999

Table of Abbreviations

Al Artificial Intelligence

ALBSU Adult Literacy and Basic Skills Unit

ANOVA Analysis of Variance

CAI Computer-Assisted Instruction
CAL Computer-Assisted Learning
CAM Cognitive Apprenticeship Model
CBI Computer-Based Instruction
CBL Computer-Based Learning
CBT Computer-Based Training

CD-ROM Compact Disk-Read Only Memory

CF Cognitive Flexibility

CML Computer-Managed Learning
CSA Cognitive Styles Analysis

CSCW Computer-Supported Co-operative (or Collaborative) Work

DL Discussion-Level EB Electronic Book

EPSS Electronic Performance Support Systems

FE Further Education

FEDA Further Education Development Agency
FEFC Further Education Funding Council

GCSE General Certificate of Secondary Education
GNVQ General National Vocational Qualification

HE Higher Education

HEFC Higher Education Funding Council

HND Higher National Diploma

IBM International Business Machines

ICT Information and Communications Technology

IES Intelligent Educational System

ILT Information and Learning Technology

IT Information Technology
ITS Intelligent Tutoring System

LD&D Learning Difficulties and/or Disability

LSI Learning Styles Inventory

NCET National Council for Educational Technology
NILTA National Information and Learning Technologies

Association

NVQ National Vocational Qualifications

PC Personal Computer
PI Programmed Instruction

PIP Perspectives Interaction Paradigm

PL Programmed Learning

PLATO Programmed Logic for Automated Teaching Operations

SIM Styles Initiating Module

SMOG¹ Standard Measure of Gobble-de-gook

S-R Stimulus-Response

TICCIT Time-shared Interactive Computer Controlled Information

Television

TL Task-Level

TLTP Teaching and Learning Technology Programme

VI Verbaliser-Imager WA Wholist-Analyst

WAVI Wholist-Analyst/Verbaliser-Imager

¹ Personal communication from the Basic Skills Agency, London.