

**Citation for published version:**

Fiona M. Sandford, Thomas A. B. Sanders, R. Nutr, and Jeremy S. Lewis, 'Exploring experiences, barriers, and enablers to home- and class-based exercise in rotator cuff tendinopathy: A qualitative study', *Journal of Hand Therapy*, Vol. 30 (2): 193-199, June 2017.

**DOI:**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jht.2017.05.001>

**Document Version:**

This is the Accepted Manuscript version.

The version in the University of Hertfordshire Research Archive may differ from the final published version.

**Copyright and Reuse:**

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Hanley & Belfus, an imprint of Elsevier.

This manuscript version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License CC BY NC-ND 4.0

( <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> ), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

**Enquiries**

If you believe this document infringes copyright, please contact the Research & Scholarly Communications Team at [rsc@herts.ac.uk](mailto:rsc@herts.ac.uk)

1 **Title: Exploring experiences, barriers and enablers to home and class based**  
2 **exercise in rotator cuff tendinopathy: a qualitative study**

3

4 **Abstract:**

5 Study design: qualitative study

6 Introduction: Adherence is paramount to the successful outcome of exercise based treatment.

7 Purpose of study: The barriers and enablers to adherence to a home and class based exercise  
8 programme were explored in this qualitative study.

9 Methods: Semi-structured interviews were carried out in order to establish common themes relating  
10 to the participants experiences during a year-long randomised controlled trial.

11 Results: Twelve participants were interviewed. The main enablers to exercise were highlighted as  
12 equipment, perceived benefit from the exercises, and longer and more intensive monitoring.

13 Barriers included lack of motivation, lack of equipment and pain.

14 Conclusions: Implications for practice are incorporating enablers and addressing barriers including  
15 self-discharge from classes, the importance of longer term follow-up and the benefits of adopting  
16 exercise into a well-established routine may provide potential benefits.

17 Level of evidence: N/A

18 Conflict of interest: None

19 Keywords: exercise, barriers, adherence, enablers, rotator cuff tendinopathy.

20

21 **1. Introduction:**

22 Exercise is the mainstay of rehabilitation treatment for rotator cuff (RC) tendinopathy (1, 2), often  
23 delivered in class based settings with home exercise plans in support. Little is known about the  
24 barriers, enablers and motivators in RC exercise based treatment. More is known regarding  
25 adherence to exercise in general but not in this cohort of patients.

26 Adherence is defined as “the act or quality of sticking to something.”(3). It has been described as  
27 the “most unpredictable, least controllable variable in a medical intervention” (4). By its very nature  
28 clinical outcome is intrinsically linked to adherence (3-5). Non-adherence has been reported to  
29 attribute to the ongoing disability with subsequent or associated reduction in the ability to work and  
30 loss of wages (6). Although studies have highlighted the importance of adherence in securing a

31 successful outcome, in the clinical setting adherence is often erratic (7, 8). Adherence does not  
32 appear to be an all or nothing issue; rather it appears to be one of gradation, with some patients  
33 adhering to varying degrees and with different components of treatment (5, 9).

34 There are several quantitative studies on exercise in RC tendinopathy (10-14) but those  
35 investigating qualitative aspects are lacking. Similarly, there is limited published information relating  
36 to the experiences of individuals who have participated in an exercise class based programme as  
37 well as carrying out concomitant home exercises. Littlewood et al (2014) explored patient  
38 expectations and barriers which might influence self-managed exercise for patients in a group of six  
39 patients in a private physiotherapy practice in northern England.

40 This qualitative study aimed to explore factors affecting adherence to a prescribed home and  
41 class based exercise programme in the National health service setting in Central London for those  
42 with rotator cuff tendinopathy and highlight enablers or suggestions for strategies to overcome any  
43 barriers identified. It is envisaged that through the identification of barriers to treatment adherence,  
44 clinicians may be able to develop and suggest methods to enhance adherence to exercise  
45 prescription for people with RC tendinopathy.

46

## 47 2. Methods:

48

### 49 2.1 Study design:

50 A qualitative descriptive approach using semi-structured interviews was employed.

51

### 52 2.2 Positionality of researcher

53 The researcher was the chief investigator (CI) of a double-blind placebo controlled randomised  
54 controlled trial (RCT) entitled: (The efficacy of long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and exercise in  
55 the treatment of RC tendinopathy. Trial registration: ISRCTN 17856844). This was a year-long trial  
56 where patients with rotator cuff tendinopathy were randomised into one of two groups. Both  
57 groups participated in an eight -week class based exercise programme with a complementary home  
58 exercise programme to perform. One group of participants was provided with long chain  
59 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplements and the other group with a placebo supplement. Levels of  
60 adherence to exercise in the RCT was measured using a self-report diary and the attendance record  
61 of the class. The mean reported level of adherence across both groups was 75% of classes or 6.2 out  
62 of 8 classes attended (standard deviation 2.5) and 11.2 minutes (SD 8.5) out of a prescribed 20  
63 minutes of the home exercise programme daily. One participant reported doing no home exercises

64 at all. Both the class based and home exercise programme were designed to increase shoulder  
65 strength, mobility and proprioception. The CI, a physiotherapist, was responsible for recruiting,  
66 consenting and interviewing all the participants who then took part in this qualitative study. She also  
67 transcribed the interviews, coded the transcripts and led the development of the themes from the  
68 data. Cross verification or researcher triangulation was achieved with another researcher  
69 independently coding and analysing the transcripts and a consensus being reached as to the themes  
70 and sub-themes.

### 71 **2.3 Ethical considerations**

72 Ethical approval was granted for this study from Bromley Research Ethics Committee, Bromley  
73 Primary Care Trust, Bassetts House, Broadwater Gardens, Farnborough, Kent BR6 7UA (REC ref:  
74 08/H0805/21). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to interview.  
75 Anonymity and confidentiality of participants was ensured in the process of data collection and  
76 analysis through transcripts and recordings being identified by participant number only.

### 77 **2.4 Participants and recruitment**

78 A minimum of ten and a maximum of forty participants were sought to be interviewed,  
79 dependent on resources available. Participants were purposively sampled from participants taking  
80 part in the RCT, to ensure the views of people from different age groups, gender and social  
81 backgrounds were captured. Participants who completed the main study between January 2012 and  
82 April 2013 (n=45) were approached by email, post or telephone and invited to attend for an  
83 interview. All participants were interviewed following their one year review at the end of the RCT.

### 84 **2.5 Interviews**

85 Interviews took between 12-35 minutes each and were face to face semi-structured interviews.  
86 They were conducted by a physiotherapist researcher in a quiet assessment room in a clinical  
87 research facility in a large central London National Health Service (NHS) Trust. Interviews were  
88 conducted solely by the chief investigator (CI) with only the CI and participant present and were  
89 audio recorded on two digital Dictaphones to ensure accuracy and preservation of the information.  
90 No field notes were made. The interviews were transcribed verbatim by the CI and checked for  
91 accuracy by one of the co -authors against the original recording. Participants were offered a copy of  
92 the transcript of the interview. A naturalism mode of transcription was used where the transcript  
93 matches as closely as possible the actual interview with every utterance recorded (15). No repeat  
94 interviews were conducted.

95 An iterative research strategy was adopted, with open questions framed around the interview  
96 schedule/ topic guide (Appendix), starting with general questions which were then honed to more  
97 specific questions based on the responses of the participant but within the wider context of the  
98 research aims.

99 In developing the interview guide a deductive approach was taken, using topics generated from  
100 the CI's personal experience, reflection, as well as the literature. A list of topics to be explored was  
101 generated, from which questions were developed.

102 At the start of each interview the participant was welcomed and thanked, followed by a synopsis  
103 of the participant's involvement in the study (start date and intervention). The purpose of this was  
104 to relax the participant and provide focus to the interview.

105 Each participant was then asked a series of questions (Appendix), however they were not  
106 necessarily asked in the same order as detailed in the Table, as is common practice in semi-  
107 structured interview methods (16). Further questions were asked if clarification was required or if  
108 probing was necessary to gain more details.

## 109 **2.6 Data analysis**

110 A thematic style of analysis was used to explore across the data set to identify repeating patterns  
111 of meaning. An inductive approach was taken where there were no pre-determined themes set to  
112 explore and the analysis was data driven. The thematic analysis was undertaken by the CI using the  
113 six point guide described by Braun (17). The six stages included; familiarisation with the data through  
114 reading and re-reading; the generation of initial codes using Nvivo V10 software (QSR International  
115 (UK) Ltd, London UK); then analysing and grouping the codes to generate themes, review, discussion  
116 and consensus between the researchers regarding the themes, their name and definition. Extracts  
117 from the interviews were chosen to illustrate effectively the themes and sub themes. The final stage  
118 was writing of the discussion where relevant literature was reviewed and cross comparisons made  
119 with the findings of this study to enable synthesis for the reader. Divergent views were reported  
120 within the themes.

121 Triangulation was achieved through checking of the transcripts against the original recordings  
122 and the discussions between the researchers regarding codes, themes and analysis. The participants  
123 did not have an opportunity to provide feedback on the findings.

124

## 125 **3. Findings and results**

126

127 **3.1 Sample description**

128 Twelve participants responded to the request to take part in the study and were interviewed with  
129 an equal number of males and females and an equal number allocated to the treatment and placebo  
130 groups. The majority were aged between 50-69 years (50%) and employed (58%). The mean change  
131 (improvement) in the Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS) over the twelve-month period was 14.17 (range  
132 0-22), with 6 points change representing a clinically meaningful change (18). The OSS consists of  
133 twelve questions, four pertaining to pain (33% of total score) and eight (67% of total score) to assess  
134 activities involved in daily function (19, 20). The mean percentage change in pain (when performing  
135 activities) intensity as measured by the numerical rating score (NRS) was an 81% decrease which  
136 equates to a substantial improvement (21). The NRS is an 11-point scale anchored on the left with 0  
137 representing no pain and 10 the worst pain imaginable. The characteristics were representative of  
138 the wider participant group of the randomised controlled trial reflecting the purposeful sampling  
139 method used. There was no significant difference in age, employment of mean change in OSS  
140 between those who responded to the request to take part in the qualitative study and those who did  
141 not.

142 **4. Thematic analysis and discussion**

143 This study provides an invaluable insight into the individual participant's experiences of carrying  
144 out a class based and home exercise programme. It helps guide and shape future studies and current  
145 practice. The context of RC tendinopathy must be considered when discussing participant's  
146 experiences and their adherence to treatment in particular. The context of a condition is known to  
147 be an important influence on how a patient absorbs information and decides to use that information  
148 (22). Patients with chronic conditions have been found to be less adherent, although not statistically  
149 significant, than those with acute post-operative conditions (9). It is well recognised that the  
150 motivation to adhere with treatment can differ depending on the condition and population (23).

151 The barriers and enablers to exercise highlighted in this study indicate that there are few key  
152 areas which could be enhanced to maximise patient's engagement with their treatment.

153 Three main themes were identified from the analysis of the transcripts:

- 154 1. Experiences relating to participation in a scientific study
- 155 2. Self-efficacy
- 156 3. Enablers/facilitators and barriers to exercise

157

158 **4.1 Experiences relating to participation in a scientific study**

159 Participants were motivated to take part in the study by both the trial processes (specialist  
160 assessments and additional symptom monitoring and assistance as part of the trial follow up which  
161 they were aware was over and above routine treatment) and by the interventions which were  
162 perceived as a source of potential benefit.

163 *“I felt that the study would benefit myself plus other people.”* Participant 57.

164 Another aspect of the trial participation which participants commented on was the feeling of  
165 obligation to the trial or the scientific process of the trial which increased their motivation or ability  
166 to adhere with the prescribed treatment.

167 *“The study gave me the incentive to carry on doing the exercises and so I was quite pleased with that  
168 and also to have a measure made of how well I was progressing.”* Participant 62.

169 *“I would have stopped doing it [the exercises] then [on discharge from the class at eight weeks] so  
170 the further follow up was very useful to me.”* Participant 60.

171 These comments are illustrative of the value the participants placed on the follow up and more  
172 intensive monitoring and feedback regarding their progress and condition which they received on  
173 the trial. It is unrealistic to imagine that this level of supervision (year-long follow up) would be  
174 possible in current clinical practice. However, it might be possible to schedule an additional review  
175 appointment a few months after the time the patient is discharged. This might further encourage  
176 and motivate the individual to continue with the exercise programme, allow progression of the  
177 exercises ensuring they continue to be relevant to the patient and may (if future research  
178 demonstrates) translate to improved outcomes. This additional review appointment could  
179 potentially take the form of; face to face appointments, a telephone call, or email correspondence  
180 depending on resource availability and patient preference.

181 However, one participant felt that they were recovering well on discharge from the class and  
182 would have been happy to cease treatment at that point with no further desire to have been  
183 followed up or monitored;

184 *“The assessments, I wouldn’t honestly say I found them helpful because the condition was clearing  
185 up....I would have been happy to leave physio at 8 weeks.”* Participant 76.

186 The expressed preference of the majority of participants for the ongoing support is in concordance  
187 with studies investigating barriers and enablers to adherence with a home exercise programme for  
188 RC tendinopathy (9, 24, 25). The feedback and monitoring is essential in conveying the importance

189 and value of the rehabilitative process, progressing exercises where appropriate to gain maximal  
190 outcome as well as recognising the patient's efforts, their progress and achievements. Patients may  
191 be motivated by a desire to please or not let down healthcare professionals (25, 26).

## 192 **4.2 Self-efficacy**

193 The degree of an individual's self-efficacy is important in the rehabilitation process as it can  
194 influence the engagement of the individual in the rehabilitation and the extent to which they will  
195 preserve when faced with difficulties or distractions (27) and can determine how they incorporate a  
196 treatment plan or exercise programme into their everyday lives (25).

197 The importance placed on being able to manage their own condition through improved  
198 knowledge and a feeling of increased control was highlighted as a recurrent theme within the  
199 participants' transcripts.

200 *"I was very down in the dumps because I was constantly in discomfort and no-one was listening and*  
201 *once I'd started the exercises and whatever I was taking I found it was a lot easier because I felt it*  
202 *was something I could manage myself and I could control what I was doing."* Participant 57.

203 *"I've been through a series of classes and I've seen the benefits. I've just come to the realisation that*  
204 *it is something that I just have to manage and by doing the exercises I feel I can manage it...exercise*  
205 *is key for me."* Participant 75.

206 Education regarding the condition, the treatment options available and the expected  
207 recovery period have all been found to be key components to facilitate adherence to treatment (3).  
208 This is especially the case with RC tendinopathy where a meaningful recovery often takes several  
209 months (28). Indeed, one participant commented;

210 *"At first I expected to see an improvement within a few weeks but it was so small. They tried to*  
211 *encourage me saying it was a long haul and by the end they said it would be six to nine months. I*  
212 *think it might have helped to know the six to nine month time frame at the beginning."* Participant  
213 62.

214 This illustrates and emphasises the need to find strategies to educate patients regarding expected  
215 rehabilitation and recovery times to ensure their expectations are realistic.

## 216 **4.3 Enablers and barriers to exercise**

217 The exercise group was cited as being good fun and helpful by several participants;

218 *"The group aspect was good, it was really fun.....I enjoyed doing the exercises every Thursday*  
219 *morning, it was one hours really fun and that really helped me a lot."* Participant 56.

220           Group exercise has been found to be beneficial in other physiotherapy studies where peer  
221 support has been cited as a benefit of class based exercise over individual exercise (29, 30). The  
222 enjoyment of the exercise class coupled with the supervision and assistance given to them within  
223 the class proved to be an enabler to them exercising. However, one participant expressed they  
224 found exercising in a class setting uncomfortable and so reported they did not attend regularly.

225           The individualisation of treatment in a class setting is a challenge and some class attendees run the  
226 risk of feeling that they are being given a one size fits all approach as one participant voiced in the  
227 interview;

228           *“There were several people in the group, everybody doing the same exercise and I did those*  
229 *exercises. I had several sessions but it didn’t help at all.”* Participant 70.

230           Another participant felt,

231           *“Once I knew what to do I could pretty much do it at home”* Participant 66.

232           This range of comments supports the idea empowering patients to manage their own attendance  
233 and discharge from a class based intervention in line with their personal requirements from the  
234 service. This study highlighted that some participants felt they had attended enough or too many  
235 classes and others would have preferred more. One suggestion might be that patients could elect  
236 when to leave the class and continue with exercises at home. Thus, reducing non-attendance and  
237 allowing those who need more classes to access these within reason.

238           Pain was found to have been a motivator, enabler and facilitator to exercise. In some,  
239 exercise decreased their shoulder pain and therefore this increased their motivation and incentive to  
240 continue with the exercises and get continued and or increased relief. Initially it is often the pain at  
241 its peak which has led the person to seek treatment and thus it served as a prompt or reminder to  
242 do the exercises.

243           *“As I was getting better, my motivation increased.”* Participant 60.

244           This concept also links into perceived benefit from the treatment where if the patient can see or  
245 experience immediate benefits from the treatment the patient is believed to be more likely to  
246 continue with it. This is interlinked with the relationship between the perceived balance between  
247 the costs and benefits of rehabilitation (31). Littlewood et al (2014) found that quick and meaningful  
248 relief in pain or response to therapy was a crucial feature of continued engagement with exercise  
249 treatment for rotator cuff tendinopathy (25).

250 Pain was also used to assist in the self-management of the condition. Participants described  
251 re-starting the exercises when they experienced pain again.

252 *"It's easier to do the exercises when you can feel a definite benefit. It's always hard to exercise to*  
253 *prevent something from getting worse."* Participant 66.

254 This further emphasises the need for the right exercises or treatment plan for that individual at the  
255 right time so that they can see that progress is being made. This could be a feature of a review  
256 appointment, progressing exercises or reviewing exercises to make sure that those which are being  
257 continued are appropriate and will result in reduced re-access to the healthcare system.

258 However, others found pain to be a barrier to exercise.

259 *"Those exercises were hurting me and I was not benefitting at all."* Participant 70.

260 *"There was one exercise that I was given earlier that I thought at the time might have made things*  
261 *worse, so I stopped doing it"* participant 69.

262 This is in concordance with some of the literature where pain has similarly been found to be a  
263 barrier to exercise, especially worsening pain with exercise (32, 33).

264 The relationship between the reduction in the impact that the condition is having on the  
265 person's life and the reduction in the adherence is intrinsically interlinked. As the illness becomes  
266 less important in the person's life and the perceived seriousness of it reduces. Sluijjs et al (1993) who  
267 investigated physiotherapist's and patient's beliefs regarding adherence with exercises in the private  
268 sector in the Netherlands found that the degree of disability provided the strongest link with the  
269 level of adherence with an exercise programme. Those whose condition caused greater difficulties  
270 with functioning demonstrated greater adherence to the home exercise programme than those who  
271 had less hindrance from their condition;

272 *"I think the low level of my condition meant I was not highly motivated [to do the exercises]."*  
273 Participant 76.

274 The participant's responses also suggest that the right equipment should be provided.  
275 Several participants reported the Theraband™ (Performance Health, Akron, Ohio, USA) which was  
276 provided to the participants to allow them to carry out the home exercise programme, as a key  
277 enabler. They found the resistance exercises were effective and found it a reminder or visual prompt  
278 to do them.

279 *"you can do it everywhere and anywhere."* Participant 64.

280 *“The elastic band, I think, is worth the money and I liked being able to go up the levels....I felt like I*  
281 *was progressing.”* Participant 60.

282 Time and the need to fit in an extra thing during the day have been frequently cited in the literature  
283 as barriers to exercise (9, 25). This was highlighted in some of the participant’s responses, *“never*  
284 *find time.”* Participant 56.

285 The importance of linking in doing the exercises with an already established routine was  
286 highlighted within the transcripts. Functional routines such as washing, dressing or meal times, were  
287 all discussed as possible opportunities where exercise could be incorporated as part of that daily  
288 routine. These strategies could be suggested and discussed with patients to collaboratively problem  
289 solve to maximise adherence in a clinical setting. This is supported by the findings by Williams and  
290 Adams (2000) who found that those who integrated their exercise programme into their lifestyle  
291 managed a greater level of adherence with minimal behavioural change (34).

292 The participants cited forgetfulness as a barrier and suggestions were made regarding smart  
293 phone reminders or daily emails from the research team to aid memory. M-health or mobile-health  
294 is a term which is used to describe the support of health care and public health through the use of  
295 mobile devices (35). A systematic review investigating the effect of m-health on chronic disease  
296 concluded there was mixed evidence supporting its use (36). The potential for benefit is clear with  
297 the widespread use of mobile and wireless devices throughout the world in all spheres of daily life  
298 but currently evidence to support its influence on adherence to healthcare interventions is  
299 inconclusive.

300 Some participants expressed it was their lack of motivation and self-discipline which was the  
301 overwhelming barrier to exercise;

302 *“At home there was the problem with self-discipline, finding the time for it. But I have got loads of*  
303 *time but still its self-discipline that’s always the problem.....the big problem was lack of motivation.”*  
304 Participant 62.

305 It is unsurprising that this was expressed in the data within this study as low self-efficacy,  
306 depression, anxiety, helplessness and poor self-discipline have all been found to be barriers to  
307 treatment adherence (32).

#### 308 **4.4 Limitations**

309 The sample size was small within this study and participants were selected pragmatically due to  
310 the resources available. Having only the views of twelve participants carries with it a risk of bias that  
311 that views raised were not representative of the larger sample. However, data saturation was

312 considered to be achieved as the data ceased to reveal new themes (37). The richness of the data  
313 generated from the participants interviewed with overlapping themes also gives confirmability to  
314 the conclusions drawn.

315 An iterative approach was used in this study to influence subsequent interviews after analysis of  
316 preceding data. Whilst this allows rich and detailed data collection to occur over time and at many  
317 different levels it also carries with it the risk of researcher bias with preconceived assumptions and  
318 the potential narrowing of the topic field. In order to try to mitigate this the researcher's ideas and  
319 thoughts during and before the process were documented. This heightened her awareness to her  
320 preconceptions and how this might interact with the data. Each interview was conducted stating  
321 with broad opening questions to allow the participant to steer the conversation and provide the  
322 information they felt was relevant.

323 The interviewer was the physiotherapist whom had assessed the participants and co-designed  
324 the study. This carries an inherent bias. Whilst the interviewees were put at ease and invited to  
325 explore the negative as well as the positive aspects of the trial and the experiences some might have  
326 been reluctant about being honest or held back with some of their responses. However the  
327 positionality of the interviewer also brought some advantages, an in-depth understanding of the  
328 intervention and a working therapist-patient relationship having met the participants on a minimum  
329 of five occasions previously. It must also be acknowledged that although there was triangulation of  
330 the data from a second researcher who is also a physiotherapist to agree themes the interpretation  
331 of the data is largely the perspective of one physiotherapist. Another researcher examining the raw  
332 data might well have elicited different themes.

333 The interviews were conducted ten months after the intervention had ceased as the participants  
334 attended the exercise class only for the first two months of the year-long follow up. This  
335 undoubtedly challenges the recall of the events, experiences and feelings at the time the participant  
336 was involved in the RCT.

337 Additionally, the level of education attained by the participants was also not recorded in this  
338 study. This has previously been shown to be significantly related to adherence (4, 9).

339

## 340 5 Conclusions:

341 This study explored the experiences of participants' taking part in a randomised controlled trial  
342 and the enablers or barriers to adherence with the exercise based intervention.

343 Participants valued their experience within the study as largely being a positive one. The main  
 344 enablers to exercise were highlighted as equipment, the perceived benefit from the actual exercises,  
 345 incorporating exercise into an established routine, the longer follow up and more intensive  
 346 monitoring and feedback received in the trial. Barriers included lack of motivation, lack of suitable  
 347 equipment and pain whether it improving or worsening.

348 These findings can be used to inform study design for future studies and guide current clinical  
 349 practice with self-discharge from classes and longer term monitoring being key clinical areas for  
 350 further investigation.

351

352

353 **Appendix:**

354 Interview guide

| Topic   | Questions and prompts  |
|---|--|
| Overview of experience.   | <p>Can you give me an overview of your experience of the study?</p> <p>Prompts: can you describe your experience, what was your lasting memory or overall impression of doing the exercises, your shoulder pain?</p> |
| Aspects of exercise programme most/least enjoyed.                   | Were there any aspects of the exercise programme which most/ least enjoyed? What were they?  |
| Aspects of the exercise programme easiest/hardest to implement.     | Were there any aspects of the exercise programme which you found easiest/ hardest to implement? What were they?  |
| Aspects the exercise programme, if any, continued beyond the study. | Were there any aspects the exercise programme, if any, continued beyond the study? If so which ones and to what extent? Any reasons why you continued? Any perceived benefits? Do you plan to continue long term?    |

---

Factors or strategies which participants found helped them to take the supplements (Enablers).

Did you have any or find any factors or strategies which you found helped you to take the supplements (enablers)? If so what and how did they help you?

Prompts: Support from family or friends, individual skills such as organising and planning, written advice, email/phone support? Provision of supplements and the way in which they were provided?

Factors or strategies which participants found helped them to complete the exercises (Enablers).

Did you have any or find any factors or strategies which you found helped you to complete the exercises (enablers)? If so what and how did they help you?

Prompts: Support from family or friends, individual skills such as organising and planning, motivation? Provision of exercise booklet, theraband, having attended the exercise class and email/phone support?

Factors or problems which participants encountered which prevented or limited their ability to carry out the exercise programme (barriers).

Were there any factors or problems which you encountered which prevented or limited your ability to carry out the exercise programme (barriers)? If so what, is there anything which could be done to alleviate them?

Physical experiences during the intervention (for example feelings of wellbeing).

Can you discuss any physical experiences during the intervention (for example feelings of wellbeing)? If yes how did these affect you? Any side effects?

Emotional experiences during the intervention (for example feelings of control/ lack of control).

Can you discuss any emotional experiences during the intervention (for example feelings of control/ lack of control)? Do you remember any feelings or emotions during the study? If yes what were they, when did they occur? Prompts: feeling more or less in control of their condition and its treatment- ask for reasons why. Feeling more of less certain of a positive outcome from treatment?

---

---

Social consequences of the  
intervention and taking part in a  
study.

---

Did you find any social consequences of taking part in  
the study or doing the exercises? If yes how did you  
manage these?

---

355

356

357 **References**

358

359

- 360 1. Littlewood C, Ashton J, Chance-Larsen K, May S, Sturrock B. Exercise for rotator cuff  
361 tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy*. 2012;98(2):101-9.
- 362 2. Lewis J, McCreesh K, Roy JS, Ginn K. Rotator Cuff Tendinopathy: Navigating the Diagnosis-  
363 Management Conundrum. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;45(11):923-37.
- 364 3. Ireland C. Adherence to physiotherapy and quality of life for adults and adolescents with  
365 cystic fibrosis. *Physiotherapy*. 2003;89(7):397-407.
- 366 4. Groth GN, Wulf MB. Compliance with hand rehabilitation: health beliefs and strategies.  
367 *Journal of hand therapy : official journal of the American Society of Hand Therapists*. 1995;8(1):18-  
368 22.
- 369 5. Abbott J, Dodd M, Bilton D, Webb AK. Treatment compliance in adults with cystic fibrosis.  
370 *Thorax*. 1994;49(2):115-20.
- 371 6. Kirwan T, Tooth L, Harkin C. Compliance with hand therapy programs: Therapists' and  
372 patients' perceptions. *J Hand Ther*. 2002;15(1):31-40.
- 373 7. Hayden JA, van Tulder MW, Tomlinson G. Systematic review: strategies for using exercise  
374 therapy to improve outcomes in chronic low back pain. *Ann Intern Med*. 2005;142(9):776-85.
- 375 8. Vermeire E, Hearnshaw H, Van Royen P, Denekens J. Patient adherence to treatment: three  
376 decades of research. A comprehensive review. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2001;26(5):331-42.
- 377 9. Sluijs EM, Kok GJ, van der Zee J. Correlates of exercise compliance in physical therapy. *Phys*  
378 *Ther*. 1993;73(11):771-82; discussion 83-6.
- 379 10. Bang MD, Deyle GD. Comparison of supervised exercise with and without manual physical  
380 therapy for patients with shoulder impingement syndrome. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.  
381 2000;30(3):126-37.
- 382 11. Haahr JP, Andersen JH. Exercises may be as efficient as subacromial decompression in  
383 patients with subacromial stage II impingement: 4-8-years' follow-up in a prospective, randomized  
384 study. *Scand J Rheumatol*. 2006;35(3):224-8.
- 385 12. Kuhn JE. Exercise in the treatment of rotator cuff impingement: a systematic review and a  
386 synthesized evidence-based rehabilitation protocol. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2009;18(1):138-60.
- 387 13. Kukkonen J, Joukainen A, Lehtinen J, Mattila KT, Tuominen EK, Kauko T, et al. Treatment of  
388 non-traumatic rotator cuff tears: A randomised controlled trial with one-year clinical results. *The*  
389 *bone & joint journal*. 2014;96-b(1):75-81.
- 390 14. Littlewood C, Bateman M, Brown K, Bury J, Mawson S, May S, et al. A self-managed single  
391 exercise programme versus usual physiotherapy treatment for rotator cuff tendinopathy: A  
392 randomised controlled trial (the SELF study). *Clin Rehabil*. 2016;30(7):686-96.
- 393 15. Oliver DG, Serovich JM, Mason TL. Constraints and Opportunities with Interview  
394 Transcription: Towards Reflection in Qualitative Research. *Soc Forces*. 2005;84(2):1273-89.
- 395 16. Whiting LS. Semi-structured interviews: guidance for novice researchers. *Nurs Stand*.  
396 2008;22(23):35-40.

- 397 17. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*.  
398 2006;3(2):77-101.
- 399 18. van Kampen DA, Willems WJ, van Beers LW, Castelein RM, Scholtes VA, Terwee CB.  
400 Determination and comparison of the smallest detectable change (SDC) and the minimal important  
401 change (MIC) of four-shoulder patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs). *J Orthop Surg Res*.  
402 2013;8:40.
- 403 19. Dawson J, Fitzpatrick R, Carr A. A self-administered questionnaire for assessment of  
404 symptoms and function of the shoulder. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1998;80(5):766-7.
- 405 20. Dawson J, Rogers K, Fitzpatrick R, Carr A. The Oxford Shoulder Score revisited. *Arch Orthop  
406 Trauma Surg*. 2009;129:119-23.
- 407 21. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Wyrwich KW, Beaton D, Cleeland CS, Farrar JT, et al. Interpreting the  
408 clinical importance of treatment outcomes in chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT  
409 recommendations. *The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society*. 2008;9(2):105-  
410 21.
- 411 22. Scott SD, Estabrooks CA, Allen M, Pollock C. A context of uncertainty: how context shapes  
412 nurses' research utilization behaviors. *Qual Health Res*. 2008;18(3):347-57.
- 413 23. Shaw T, Williams MT, Chipchase LS. A review and user's guide to measurement of  
414 rehabilitation adherence following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Physical Therapy in  
415 Sport*. 2004;6(1):45-51.
- 416 24. Locock L, Smith L. Personal benefit, or benefiting others? Deciding whether to take part in  
417 clinical trials. *Clin Trials*. 2011;8(1):85-93.
- 418 25. Littlewood C, Malliaras P, Mawson S, May S, Walters S. Patients with rotator cuff  
419 tendinopathy can successfully self-manage, but with certain caveats: a qualitative study.  
420 *Physiotherapy*. 2014;100(1):80-5.
- 421 26. Riolo L. Commentary. *Phys Ther*. 1993;73(11):784-6.
- 422 27. Barlow J. Self-efficacy in the context of rehabilitation. JH Stone MB, editor2010.
- 423 28. Bennell K, Wee E, Coburn S, Green S, Harris A, Staples M, et al. Efficacy of standardised  
424 manual therapy and home exercise programme for chronic rotator cuff disease: randomised placebo  
425 controlled trial. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2010;12(340).
- 426 29. Lewis JS, Hewitt JS, Billington L, Cole S, Byng J, Karayiannis S. A randomized clinical trial  
427 comparing two physiotherapy interventions for chronic low back pain. *Spine*. 2005;30(7):711-21.
- 428 30. Kaapa EH, Frantsi K, Sarna S, Malmivaara A. Multidisciplinary group rehabilitation versus  
429 individual physiotherapy for chronic nonspecific low back pain: a randomized trial. *Spine (Phila Pa  
430 1976)*. 2006;31(4):371-6.
- 431 31. Groth GN, Wulf MB. Compliance with hand rehabilitation: health beliefs and strategies. *J  
432 Hand Ther*. 1995;8(1):18-22.
- 433 32. Jack K, McLean SM, Moffett JK, Gardiner E. Barriers to treatment adherence in  
434 physiotherapy outpatient clinics: a systematic review. *Man Ther*. 2010;15(3):220-8.
- 435 33. Minor MA, Brown JD. Exercise maintenance of persons with arthritis after participation in a  
436 class experience. *Health Educ Q*. 1993;20(1):83-95.
- 437 34. Williams S, Adams J. Patient self-reported adherence levels with joint protection advice.  
438 *British Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation*. 2000;7(1):34-9.
- 439 35. Free C, Phillips G, Galli L, Watson L, Felix L, Edwards P, et al. The effectiveness of mobile-  
440 health technology-based health behaviour change or disease management interventions for health  
441 care consumers: a systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2013;10(1):e1001362.
- 442 36. Hamine S, Gerth-Guyette E, Faulx D, Green BB, Ginsburg AS. Impact of mHealth chronic  
443 disease management on treatment adherence and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *J Med  
444 Internet Res*. 2015;17(2):e52.
- 445 37. Given L. *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Qualitative Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks,  
446 California2008.

447