The Fraction of DA White Dwarfs with Kilo–Gauss Magnetic Fields

S. Jordan, 1 R. Aznar Cuadrado, 2 R. Napiwotzki, 3 H. M. Schmid, 4 and S. K. Solanki 2

Abstract. Current estimates for white dwarfs with fields in excess of 1 MG are about 10%; according to our first high-precision circular-polarimetric study of 12 bright white dwarfs with the VLT (Aznar Cuadrado et al. 2004) this number increases up to about 25% in the kG regime. With our new sample of ten white dwarf observations (plus one sdO star) we wanted to improve the sample statistics to determine the incident of kG magnetic fields in white dwarfs. In one of our objects (LTT 7987) we detected a statistically significant (97% confidence level) longitudinal magnetic field varying between $(-1\pm0.5)\,\mathrm{kG}$ and $(+1\pm0.5)\,\mathrm{kG}$. This would be the weakest magnetic field ever found in a white dwarf, but at this level of accuracy, systematic errors cannot completely be ruled out. Together with previous investigations, the fraction of kG magnetic fields in white dwarfs amounts to about 11-15%, which is close to current estimates for highly magnetic white dwarfs $(>1\,\mathrm{MG})$.

1. Introduction

Until recently, magnetic fields below 30 kG could not be detected with the exception of the very bright white dwarf 40 Eri B (V=8.5), in which Fabrika, Valyavin, & Burlakova (2003) found a magnetic field of 4 kG. However, by using the ESO VLT, we could push the detection limit down to about 1 kG in our first investigation of 12 DA white dwarfs with 11 < V < 14 (Aznar Cuadrado et al. 2004). In three objects of this sample we detected magnetic fields between 2 kG and 7 kG on a 5 σ confidence level. Therefore, we concluded that the fraction of white dwarfs with kG magnetic fields is about 25%. For one of our cases, LP 672–001 (WD 1105–048), Valyavin et al. (2006) confirmed the presence of a kG magnetic field.

¹Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

² Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung, D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany

³Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield AL10 9AB. UK

⁴Institut für Astronomie, ETH Zürich, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

2. Observations

The spectro-polarimetric data of our new sample of ten bright normal DA white dwarfs plus one high-metallicity sdO star were obtained in service mode between May 5 and August 4, 2004, with the FORS1 spectrograph at the 8 m UT2 of the VLT. We checked all candidates for spectral peculiarities and magnetic fields strong enough to be detected in intensity spectra taken with the high-resolution Echelle spectrograph UVES at the Kueyen (UT2) of VLT in the course of the SPY project (Napiwotzki et al. 2003). The spectra and circular polarimetric data covered the wavelength region between 3600 Å and 6000 Å with a spectral resolution of 4.5 Å.

In order to avoid saturation the exposures were split into a sequence: after every second observation the retarder plate was rotated from $\alpha = -45^{\circ}$ to $\alpha = +45^{\circ}$ and back in order to suppress spurious signals in the degree of circular polarisation (calculated from the ratio of the Stokes parameters V and I).

3. Determination of the Magnetic Fields

The theoretical V/I profile for a given mean longitudinal magnetic field $\langle B_z \rangle$ (expressed in Gauss) below about 10 kG is given by the weak-field approximation (e.g. Angel & Landstreet 1970) without any loss of accuracy:

$$\frac{V}{I} = -g_{\text{eff}} C_z \lambda^2 \frac{1}{I} \frac{\partial I}{\partial \lambda} \langle B_z \rangle \tag{1}$$

where $g_{\rm eff}$ is the effective Landé factor (= 1 for all hydrogen lines of any series, Casini & Landi degl'Innocenti 1994), λ is the wavelength expressed in Å, and the constant $C_z = e/(4\pi m_e c^2)$ ($\simeq 4.67 \times 10^{-13} \, {\rm G}^{-1} {\rm Å}^{-1}$).

We performed a χ^2 -minimisation procedure in order to find out which mean longitudinal magnetic field strength best fits the observed data in wavelength intervals of ± 20 Å around H β and H γ . The resulting best-fit values for the magnetic field strengths from the individual lines and their statistical 1σ errors are listed in Table 1 for each observation. We also provided the weighted means from both lines.

Our fitting procedure was validated with extensive numerical simulations using a large sample of 1000 artificial noisy polarisation spectra (Aznar Cuadrado et al. 2004). It was concluded that at our noise level kG fields can reliably be detected.

4. Results

As can be seen from Table 1 none of the measurements of the circular polarisations reached the same level of confidence as the three magnetic objects found in the first sample (Paper I). The highest level of confidence was achieved by LTT 7987 (WD 2007–303) where a 2.4 σ and 2.0 σ level was reached for the two respective observations. The corresponding mean longitudinal field strengths were $-1093 \pm 453\,\mathrm{G}$ and $970 \pm 485\,\mathrm{G}$. Single observations of CD–38°10980 (WD 1620–391) resulted in $-1116 \pm 406\,\mathrm{G}$ and LTT 8189 (WD 2039–202) in $-1297 \pm 512\,\mathrm{G}$, which corresponds to 2.8 σ and 2.5 σ , respectively.

Table 1. Magnetic fields derived from the H γ and H β lines for our sample of white dwarfs. $B(\sigma)$ provides the magnetic field in units of the σ level. Detections exceeding the 2σ levels are given in bold. Multiple observations that were averaged prior to analysis are labelled AVERAGE.

Target	Carget Date $B(G)$		B(G)	$B(\sigma)$	
O		${ m H}\gamma$	$^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$	$\dot{\mathrm{H}\gamma}, \dot{eta}$	$\dot{\mathrm{H}\gamma}, \dot{eta}$
WD 1148-230 (EC 11481-2303, (sdO)	08/05/04 18/05/04 AVERAGE	-520 ± 655 -30 ± 1325 -490 ± 625	-980 ± 590 20 ± 1095 -860 ± 500	-774 ± 438 0 ± 844 -716 ± 390	1.76 0.00 1.83
WD 1202-232 (EC 12028-2316)	18/05/04 25/05/04 AVERAGE	1280 ± 865 660 ± 550 -200 ± 260	260 ± 940 -370 ± 325 850 ± 425	812 ± 636 -103 ± 280 85 ± 221	1.28 0.37 0.39
WD 1327-083 (G 14-58)	25/05/04 27/05/04 AVERAGE	300 ± 1010 -2800 ± 740 -1230 ± 555	-320 ± 1080 2790 ± 835 1410 ± 520	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \pm 737 \\ -340 \pm 553 \\ 175 \pm 379 \end{array} $	0.01 0.61 0.46
WD 1620-391 (CD-38°10980)	10/05/04 17/05/04 25/05/04 AVERAGE	$\begin{array}{c} 150 \pm 785 \\ -2390 \pm 1220 \\ 120 \pm 640 \\ -500 \pm 480 \end{array}$	$-1580 \pm 475 \\ -20 \pm 735 \\ -770 \pm 595 \\ -920 \pm 365$	$-1116 \pm 406 -651 \pm 629 -357 \pm 435 -766 \pm 290$	2.75 1.03 0.82 2.63
WD 1845+019 (Lan 18)	05/05/04 10/05/04 AVERAGE	-340 ± 1410 -30 ± 1145 -130 ± 815	-150 ± 1175 6000 ± 2390 500 ± 670	$-227 \pm 902 1095 \pm 1032 245 \pm 517$	0.25 1.06 0.47
WD 1919+145 (GD 219)	06/05/04 10/05/04 AVERAGE	1240 ± 1080 930 ± 1290 1180 ± 870	-1440 ± 1220 110 ± 990 -500 ± 815	62 ± 808 413 ± 785 285 ± 594	0.08 0.53 0.48
WD 2007-303 (LTT 7987)	06/05/04 12/05/04 AVERAGE	-1460 ± 1270 1540 ± 780 120 ± 495	-1040 ± 485 610 ± 620 -390 ± 375	-1093 ± 453 970 ± 485 -204 ± 298	2.41 2.00 0.67
$\begin{array}{c} \text{WD 2014-575} \\ \text{(RE J2018-572)} \end{array}$	14/05/04 27/06/04 28/06/04 AVERAGE	2410 ± 1735 310 ± 4295 2820 ± 2060 2380 ± 1205	1240 ± 1260 1160 ± 3340 -4470 ± 1455 -1120 ± 895	$1643 \pm 1019 \\ 839 \pm 2636 \\ -2043 \pm 1188 \\ 124 \pm 718$	1.61 0.32 1.71 0.17
WD 2039-202 (LTT 8189)	17/05/04 10/06/04 AVERAGE	-2670 ± 1595 -780 ± 730 -1240 ± 655	40 ± 965 -1800 ± 720 -1290 ± 535	-686 ± 825 -1297 ± 512 -1269 ± 414	0.83 2.53 3.06
WD 2149+021 (G 93-48)	26/06/04 09/07/04 04/08/04 AVERAGE	340 ± 1060 -530 ± 945 -1300 ± 985 -600 ± 555	730 ± 930 -1690 ± 890 350 ± 705 -130 ± 490	560 ± 699 -1144 ± 647 -208 ± 573 -335 ± 367	0.80 1.78 0.36 0.91
WD 2211-495 (RE J2214-491)	14/05/04 28/06/04 AVERAGE	$110 \pm 1655 \\ -190 \pm 1795 \\ -390 \pm 1155$	-1940 ± 1060 640 ± 1190 -900 ± 795	-1343 ± 892 386 ± 991 -736 ± 654	1.51 0.39 1.12

With two observations exceeding 2 σ , LTT 7987 (WD 2007–303) would be the most convincing case for being a positive detection. The probability that two independent and uncorrelated observations of a single star have that level of confidence can be estimated in the following way: the likelihood that an observations exceeds 2 σ is 4.6%. Therefore, the chance that at least one observation of the white dwarfs exceeds 2 σ is $(1-0.954^{23})=66.1\%$. Then the probability that

the same star has a second observation exceeding 2 σ is $0.661 \times 0.046 = 3.0\%$. Therefore, from a purely statistical point of view we must regard this detection as significant (with 97% confidence).

Both measurements of the sdO star EC 11481–2303 are below the 2 σ level. This is interesting by itself and confirms the finding by O'Toole et al. (2005) that there is no correlation between the metallicity and the presence of a magnetic field with kG strength.

5. Conclusion

While we detected magnetic fields in three out of 12 programme stars in our first investigation, we found at most (if at all) one object in our new sample of ten DA white dwarfs. Putting both samples together we arrive at a fraction of 14–18% of kG magnetism in white dwarfs; the lower value is obtained assuming that LTT 7987 is not magnetic. However, if confirmed, LTT 7987 would have the lowest magnetic field (1 kG) ever detected in a white dwarf.

Recently, Valyavin et al. (2006) have also performed a search for circular polarisation in white dwarfs. They confirmed our detection (Aznar Cuadrado et al. 2004) of a varying longitudinal magnetic field in LP 672–001 (WD 1105–048): they measured field strengths between $-7.9 \pm 2.6 \,\mathrm{kG}$ to $0.1 \pm 2.7 \,\mathrm{kG}$, compared to our values of $-4.0 \pm 0.7 \,\mathrm{kG}$ to $-2.1 \pm 0.4 \,\mathrm{kG}$. However, they did not discover any significant magnetic field in their five other programme stars. If we combine their and our results together, the fraction of kG magnetic fields in DA white dwarfs amounts to $15\% \, (4/(12+10+5))$ or $11\% \, (3/(12+10+5))$, if we disregard the detection in LTT 7987. However, it is problematic to merge both samples, because the signal-to-noise ratio of our VLT measurements is much higher than the observations with the 6 m telescope of the Special Astrophysical Observatory. Since our uncertainties are on the average 2-3 times smaller (partly also due to the fact that Valyavin et al. 2006 have used $H\alpha$ only) we must put a higher statistical weight on our sample with a fraction of 11% to 15% of magnetic to field-free (i.e. below detection limit) white dwarfs.

Acknowledgments. This paper is based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla or Paranal Observatories under programme ID 073.D-0356. We acknowledge the use of LTE model spectra computed by D. Koester, Kiel.

References

```
Angel, J. R. P., & Landstreet, J. D. 1970, ApJ, 160, L147
Aznar Cuadrado, R., Jordan, S., Napiwotzki, R., Schmid, H. M., Solanki, S. K., & Mathys, G. 2004, A&A, 423, 1081
Casini, R., & Landi degl'Innocenti, E. 1994, A&A, 291, 668
Fabrika, S. N., Valyavin, G. G., & Burlakova, T. E. 2003, Astronomy Letters, 29, 737
Napiwotzki, R., Christlieb, N., Drechsel, H., et al. 2003, ESO-Messenger, 112, 25
O'Toole, S. J., Jordan, S., Friedrich, S., & Heber, U. 2005, A&A, 437, 227
Valyavin, G., Bagnulo, S., Fabrika, S., Reisenegger, A., Wade, G. A., Han, I., & Monin, D. 2006, ApJ, 648, 559
```