IMPLEMENTING GROUP VIRTUAL REALITY SIMULATION INTO THE ADULT NURSING CURRICULUM: STUDENT AND LECTURER EXPERIENCES.

ABSTRACT

Background: Virtual reality simulation technology was rapidly integrated into pre-registration adult nursing programmes in response to the pandemic and a reduction in clinical placements. The UK's regulatory body for nursing has recently recognised its value in nursing education by increasing the possible number of simulated practice hours which can replace clinical placements up to 600 hours. This paper reports on an evaluation study of a novel approach using screen-based Virtual Reality (VR) simulations for groups of students in a classroom setting.

Objectives: This study evaluates student and lecturer experiences of screen-based VR with the aim of informing and sharing insights from this approach.

Design: Students and lecturers responded to an evaluation survey comprising both closed and open ended questions.

Setting: A large Approved Education Institute (AEI) in the East of England which delivers both Bachelors and Masters pre-registration nursing programmes.

Participants: Pre-registration adult nursing Masters students and adult nursing lecturers who had experience of screen-based VR with groups.

Methods; Quantitative data was analysed using descriptive methods, qualitative data using thematic analysis.

Results: Student and lecturer experiences of screen-based VR were overwhelmingly positive, overcoming many challenges of simulation documented in the literature. Importantly the group working approach promoted development of non technical or essential 'soft' skills such as communication, decision making and teamwork.

Conclusions: Screen-based VR for classroom based learning offers an effective, engaging, enjoyable and cost effective method of incorporating VR simulation scenarios in adult nursing education. Thoughtful consideration of pedagogical aspects are key to its successful and effective integration into pre-registration adult nursing curriculum.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, Simulation, Group, Education, Nursing, Student nurse.

Keypoints:

- Evaluation study illuminating the effectiveness of a novel approach, screen-based VR simulation in groups, for the education of students on pre-registration adult nursing programmes.
- Evaluation includes lecturer perspectives.
- Adds to the evidence base on the use of virtual reality simulation and provides recommendations for educators.
- This study indicates that group virtual simulation is an approach which offers engaging, realistic, enjoyable and cost-effective methods for promoting nontechnical skills, such as communication, decision making and working in teams while overcoming some of the challenges of virtual reality and physical simulation.

Reflective questions

- 1. What might be the limitations of using VR simulation in nurse education?
- 2. How can practising simulation scenarios in groups help students to learn skills needed to safely care for patients in real practice?
- 3. Why are both technical and non-technical skills important for students to learn during simulation?
- 4. What are your views about the term 'soft' skills to mean non-technical skills including communication and team work?

IMPLEMENTING GROUP VIRTUAL REALITY SIMULATION INTO THE ADULT NURSING CURRICULUM: STUDENT AND LECTURER EXPERIENCES.

INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

Digital technologies are rapidly expanding to meet demands of simulated practice learning and finite resources for physical simulation for educating nursing students (Brown et al, 2022). Virtual simulation (VS) is comparable to physical simulation (Foronda et al, 2020), and having only relatively recently been incorporated into nursing education, there is a paucity of research into its use (Plotzky et al, 2021). A recent literature review identified the need for innovative approaches and resourcing to overcome challenges associated with simulation based learning in nursing education (Tamilselvan et al, 2023). These challenges include managing large cohorts and the need for specialist simulation spaces and equipment. This paper reports on an evaluation of the use of screen-based Virtual Reality (VR) simulation delivered in a group classroom setting for educating adult nursing students. The evaluation includes both student and lecturer perspectives which indicate this approach combines many advantages of VR, while overcoming many of its known limitations.

During the pandemic the UK's regulatory body for nurses and midwives, the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC), allowed an increase in hours of practice learning that could be delivered through university based simulation. Use of simulation to fulfil practice hours in place of clinical placements and recognition of how it effectively augments practice learning has seen recently updated standards allowing a considerable increase in Simulated Learning Practice (SPL): up to 600 hours within 2,300 total practice learning hours required in pre-registration nursing programmes (NMC, 2023). Along with this guidance, the NMC (2023) provides a new definition of simulation:

"An educational method which uses a variety of modalities to support students in developing their knowledge, behaviours and skills, with the opportunity for repetition, feedback, evaluation and reflection to achieve their programme outcomes and be confirmed as capable of safe and effective practice."

Simulated Practice Learning (SPL), places emphasis on students learning by 'doing' nursing practice which must meet the same standards for supervision and assessment as is required of practice placements (NMC, 2023). Students should learn in a setting that represents a practice environment, with a practice supervisor present to contextualize and support learning complementary to placements in terms of context, setting and practice learning outcomes (NMC, 2023).

Traditionally, simulation in adult nursing involves a manikin, actor or service user in a simulated ward, clinic, or home environment (Dolan, 2021). Virtual simulation uses three-dimensional (3D) immersive technology and computer generated VR to allow learners to experience simulated clinical environments which deliver real-time responses based on learners' decision-making and actions (Plotzky et al, 2021). Virtual simulation has been shown to have comparable performance outcomes to physical simulation (Foronda et al, 2020), and delivers comparable learning outcomes (Jallad and Işık, 2022). It is less resource intensive for staff and is more cost effective than manikin based simulation (Bumbach et al, 2022, Haerling, 2018). Two variations used in nursing education are desktop VR Simulation (dVRS) and immersive VR Simulation (iVRS). dVRS encompasses the use of computer equipment such as a mouse, touchpad and monitor to interact with the virtual world as an individual participant; iVRS refers to the use of sensory equipment such as a head-mounted device that provides auditory and visual stimuli during a more immersive VR experience (Shorey & Ng, 2021).

Both types of VS allow nursing students to practice clinical skills an unlimited number of times without threats to patient safety, with known positive learning outcomes (Health Education England, 2020; Cheng, 2020). A significant advantage is the opportunities VS offers for participating in multiple scenarios infrequently encountered in clinical practice, including those that are challenging to replicate in physical simulation, for example anaphylaxis and mental health assessment. However, managing individual students with immersive VR headsets can be impractical for large cohorts of students; their use can provoke anxiety or motion sickness and are unusable for some, such as those prone to seizures following visual stimuli (Thomas, 2022). Desktop VR simulation provides opportunities for students to work alone and is flexible in terms of timing and location, but supporting learners with remote use of specialist software presents additional challenges, particularly in relation to digital poverty. Students have reported feeling isolated both with desktop VR and headset VR, and while provision of synchronous debriefing can provide mitigation and meet SPL criteria (Dolan, 2021) there is an inevitable reduction in lecturer support during both scenarios and debriefing when using these techniques (Saab et al, 2021).

At a large East of England Approved Education Institute (AEI), VR simulation was adopted across preregistration adult nursing programmes as a creative solution during the pandemic whilst placement capacity was reduced, in common with many nurse education providers (Verkuyl et al, 2022). A VR teaching framework was designed and embedded into the MSc pre-registration adult nursing curriculum to substitute some manikin-based simulations using Oxford Medical Simulation: a platform that uses both screen-based VR and immersive VR. Individual learners control the nurse avatar and interact with a patient and professional colleagues in a 20-minute AI driven scenario. System generated performance analytics, including a percentage score based on achievement of learning outcomes, is provided immediately after scenario completion. Various modes of delivery were explored; The implementation of screen-based VR for individuals was limited by inequitable access to a PC at home, whilst implementing VR in a classroom using immersive headsets was

limited by numbers of headsets. Casting the VR headset onto a large screen reduced engagement from observers compared to the learner in the headset, consequently an alternative classroom approach was designed.

Students were divided into groups of six; one student controlled the simulation scenario using the screen-based VR application projected onto a large screen visible to the group in a classroom. The group worked together to make decisions as a team. Using the pause functions enabled discussion at critical points during scenarios and students rotated to the role of team leader. In accordance with the international standards of best practice (INACSL, 2016), outcomes and scenarios were aligned with programme objectives, including nursing care for anaphylaxis, acute asthma, cardiac chest pain, hypoglycemic seizure, acute anxiety, and self-harm. A lecturer facilitated each session, which included pre-brief and debrief, followed by a review of feedback generated by the VR system, scenario performance score and review of time to complete critical interventions. Facilitators focused on learner self-assessment and directive, informative feedback to support critical reflection on performance and decision-making, resulting in meaningful learner focused debriefs (Eppich & Cheng, 2015). This approach seemed to increase learner engagement across the whole group, not just the student controlling the scenario. Collaborative working with peers to prioritise and manage time was also evident, whilst all students equally benefitted from the immersive VR simulation experience.

The UK's Nursing and Midwifery Council recognise opportunities for using VR simulation in nursing education and urge AEI's who are developing its use to evaluate their effectiveness and share experiences and learning across the sector (NMC, 2023). This study evaluates screen-based VR in groups from both lecturer and student perspectives with the aim of informing, enhancing, and sharing our learning from what we believe is a novel approach.

METHODS / DESIGN

The study was conducted at an AEI in the East of England, with a convenience sample of students from a first-year pre-registration MSc adult nursing cohort and adult nursing lecturers who had undertaken screen-based VR sessions as part of a simulation week. Ethical approval was given by the University's ethics committee. Prior to the session, students and lecturers were provided with a verbal and written description of the study and an invitation to participate which made clear that their inclusion in sessions was not dependent on them participating in the study. Two separate, but similar online surveys using Microsoft forms, comprising ten 5-point scale questions and four open ended questions, were used to collect data from both students and lecturers. The survey questions were developed using Kirkpatrick's evaluation model, the literature on the topic, and our experiences with using screen-based VR in similar previous sessions. 56 students from a preregistration MSc adult nursing cohort and 12 adult nursing lecturers participated. Survey responses from lecturers and students were analysed separately, using the same methods. Analysis of responses to closed ended survey questions used descriptive methods and the qualitative data from open ended questions was analysed using Braun & Clarke's 6 step thematic analysis (2022). Survey responses were completely anonymous.

FINDINGS

Quantitative findings: students

The students' survey responses were overwhelmingly positive (Table 1). 100% (n56) of respondents enjoyed the learning experience and their collective overall rating of the group VR simulation was 4.8 out of 5. Nearly all the students found the simulation scenarios realistic (91%, n51) and 100% (n56) felt more confident with their ABCDE assessment. An integral part of the group VR session was the debrief and most students strongly agreed (79%, n44), or agreed (20%, n11) that they learnt from others and reflected on their own experience as a result. A feature of the simulation

technology is that it generates a performance score; 98% (n55) strongly agreed that this was useful. Importantly, all students either agreed (29%, n16) or strongly agreed (71%, n38) that the session had provided opportunities to develop team working skills and the majority (98%, n57) agreed or strongly agreed that the session developed decision making and prioritising skills. The least confidence was demonstrated with mental health assessment (7%, n4 of respondents were neutral) and handover (4%, n2 were neutral), although the majority of students agreed or strongly agreed they felt more confident in both of these areas following the VR sessions.

Quantitative findings: lecturers

The overall experience of lecturers delivering screen-based VR simulation in a classroom was also positive (Table 2). All lecturers that facilitated group sessions agreed (30%, n4) or strongly agreed (70%, n8) that VR simulation, used in this way, was a useful teaching tool which they enjoyed as a teaching experience. Most lecturers agreed that screen-based VR was easy to use with groups (50%, n6, strongly agreed, 40%, n5, agreed, and 10% were neutral), but there was less agreement that it saved them time (30%, n4, were neutral on this point). In keeping with students, lecturers all agreed or strongly agreed that students learnt from others during the group debrief. Likewise, lecturers agreed with students that the session was valuable for developing teamworking skills (100% strongly agreed), decision making and prioritising skills (70% strongly agreed and 30% agreed). There was less agreement about usefulness of the developing mental health assessment skills session; 22% of lecturers were neutral on this point.

Qualitative findings: students

While responses to the closed Likert scale questions demonstrated an overall positive evaluation of screen-based VR in groups by both students and lecturers, open-ended questions produced more

detailed responses. Qualitative data from students and lecturers was analysed separately and themes were identified. Presented first, themes of realism, learning, and skills and attributes, were identified from student responses to the following open ended questions:

- How do you feel VR simulation compares to physical simulation (e.g. simulation scenario with a manikin)?
- > What did you find most valuable about the VR simulation?
- > How will your learning from VR simulation be applied in your clinical practice?
- How could your learning from VR simulation impact on patient care?

Theme 1: Realism

Most students said they found the VR simulation highly realistic, preferring it to physical simulation with a manikin, finding VR more realistic.

"More realistic and requires more engagement and efficiency" [SN30]

"I feel like I am with a real human" [SN40]

The realism portrayed through simulation particularly related to communicating with the patient.

"The patient answers just like a real person" [SN4]

The students also felt that simulation provoked a sense of real time pressures and urgency akin to a real situation, with some saying they actually felt like they were in clinical practice.

"Induces real life feelings of being under pressure and stress" [SN22]

"Gives you actual feel of what it would be like when you are a qualified nurse" [SN55]

In addition, situations provided by the simulation provided a highly realistic portrayal of complex scenarios which they need to learn how to manage, but which they don't often see on their clinical placements.

"Difficult situations we never usually get exposure to" [SN29]

Theme 2: Learning

Students reported that VR simulation had provided an interactive and engaging learning experience.

"VR gave me opportunity to actually practice all that I've been learning from physical simulation, it felt like a real live scenario" [SN4]

Students said they felt they were in a safe space to learn and reflect on mistakes, especially valuing practising being with very unwell patients without risk to patient safety.

"A safe place to practice, learn from your mistakes and those of others" [SN3]

"It can help us practice without harming the real patient" [SN16]

They liked the instant feedback and scoring facility and could reflect on learning during the group debrief.

"Feedback at the end was valuable for reflection" [SN17]

The learning students gained from the VR simulation was felt to be comparable, if not better than clinical placement learning.

"I have learnt more from the VR than some weeks at placement" [SN53]

Students didn't report any self conscious issues often seen with physical simulation, and gains in confidence were reported by almost all of the students.

"I am now able to work on being better at handling situations under pressure.....becoming more confident in my ABCDE assessment and other necessary clinical and non-clinical skills." [SN42].

Theme 3: Skills and Attributes with 2 sub themes: Technical skills and non-technical skills

Acquisition of required skills in a realistic and safe environment was a key outcome of group VR sessions for students. This theme was divided into two sub-themes to capture both technical and non-technical skills that students said they'd learnt about during simulation sessions.

Students identified development of specific technical skills which related to physical assessment, emergency management, observations, being observant, escalation, person centred care, reducing patient harm and patient safety. Theyfelt more confident with assessment and management of acutely deteriorating patients.

"Helped me build confidence in assessing a patient and what to do if they deteriorate rapidly" [SN55] "More observant to escalate when necessary" [SN8]

A better appreciation of history taking and a holistic approach to care were also identified which would have a direct, positive impact on patient safety.

"The patient will benefit from my competence and I will be able to save lives" [SN14]

The second sub-theme was identified as non-technical skills. The students found group VR supported their learning with many essential 'soft' skills that are difficult to capture in the curriculum and during placement; specifically identifying communication, prioritisation, decision making, teamwork, assertiveness, efficiency, autonomous practice, accountability, organisation, and time management skills.

"Good for practicing teamwork, communication and organisation" [SN16] "Highlights the importance of communication with the patient" [SN28] On a personal level, students identified learning about themselves: their own accountability, autonomy, and assertiveness, and how they respond in challenging clinical situations.

"I am more aware of how disorientating emergency situations can be" [SN2]

Qualitative findings: lecturers

Lecturers were asked to respond to the following open ended questions about their experiences of using screen-based VR simulation for teaching adult nursing students:

- How do you feel teaching with VR simulation compares to physical simulation (e.g. simulation scenario with a manikin)?
- > What did you find most valuable about VR simulation?
- > Were there any challenges with VR simulation teaching?
- > How do you think VR simulation will fit into the current nursing curriculum?
- Is there anything else you would like to say about VR simulation?

Using thematic analysis, two main themes were identified: lecturer experiences of student engagement and learning, and lecturer experiences of using VR simulation as a teaching method.

Lecturer experience of student engagement and learning

In keeping with students, most lecturers found VR simulation to be highly realistic, providing opportunities for students to encounter complex, challenging situations necessary for their education but not always experienced on clinical placement in a safe environment.

"The complexity of scenarios allows students to experience, and problem solve in situations they have not previously encountered, building confidence in a safe environment." [L7] Likewise, lecturers found VR in groups supported development of their students' essential 'soft' skills, identifying similar key areas of learning to that of student respondents: teamworking, problem solving, autonomy, decision making and prioritisation, as well as with students demonstrating high levels of engagement, deeper learning, and critical thinking skills.

"Students able to work autonomously, in a safe environment as a team, without looking towards the lecturer to lead the scenario." [L6]

Lecturers saw a high level of student engagement and benefits of debrief, including the performance scoring provided by the technology.

"Students used the end score to improve by competing both with other students and their own previous scores." [L11]

"The debrief and review of the scenario performance score, allowing students to review what went well and what aspects could be improved." [L6]

Lecturer experiences of using VR simulation as a teaching method

Lecturers described how ease of use and time saved with pre prepared scenarios enabled complex simulations to be run with multiple groups with good consistency.

"The prep work of developing suitable scenarios has been done, makes it easier to focus on developing real life skills of assessment, communication, decision making, critical thinking." [L4]

However, while students easily embraced the technology, academic staff needed more technical support and confidence to engage with the new teaching method.

"Some staff are reluctant due to technology involved, but all lecturers have the skill set to facilitate a VR session... a powerful teaching tool." [L7]

"Keeps teaching fresh, and staff on their toes." [L4]

All lecturers who responded saw potential for expanding group VR into new areas of the curriculum, such as mental health assessment and community care, combining it with more traditional manikin based simulation, and to explore its potential for assessing students.

"I think that VR simulation will grow and become one of the primary tools used for Nursing Simulation, alongside clinical skills teaching." [L6]

Unlike students, lecturers did identify some challenges with using screen-based VR in class. They identified a requirement for further training to fully exploit its teaching potential, such as guidance on finding the 'right' scenario on the system to fit with students' educational needs and getting to grips with advanced technology which was known to put some of their colleagues off using it.

"Some staff have been reluctant to support VR sessions due to the advancing technology involved" [L7]

However, it was seen as helpful for lecturers who had been away from hands on clinical practice for some time.

"As someone who has not had 'hands-on' practice for several years, it has also developed a better sense of what may be current in practice, and reduced some anxiety about conditions that students may encounter." [L3]

In summary, lecturers found that delivering group VR on-screen simulation overcomes some challenges of physical simulation, providing a powerful, immersive learning experience. They identified how VR simulation promotes development of essential 'softer' non -technical skills that can be difficult to manage during manikin-based simulation teaching. When combined with physical simulation this teaching method was seen as a cost effective and engaging experience for lecturers and while there were some challenges, they embraced this new method of delivery and wanted to see its use further embedded across the curriculum.

DISCUSSION

Findings from this evaluation study suggest that screen-based VR with groups offers an effective, engaging, enjoyable and cost-effective method of incorporating VS in adult nursing education. Our study strengthens existing evidence demonstrating its potential for learning opportunities focused on scenarios which adult nursing students need to learn about but are often not encountered on clinical placement, providing real time, situational learning which can be challenging to replicate with physical simulation (Aebersold, 2018). Importantly, this overcomes many challenges seen with both desktop and immersive simulation methods, such as students working alone without lecturer support and guidance using dVRS, the potential for disorienting physical effects with iVRS, and student frustrations with technological hurdles (Tamilselvan et al, 2023). By up scaling the use of VR technology to share with larger groups of students, this approach addresses concerns that financial costs of virtual reality continue to limit its use in nurse education (Saab et al, 2021).

In a recent scoping review, Plotzky et al (2021) advocate for using social interaction to teach 'soft' skills, something which is not commonly seen in VS use. As well as enjoying established benefits of VS, our study demonstrates potential for VR applications in promoting important social group interaction, thereby expanding potential for students to develop those non-technical skills, such as communication, working within a team, decision making and leadership. This finding directly contrasts with a literature review which found using virtual worlds more effective for teaching theoretical knowledge than clinical skills and affective outcomes (Shorey & Ng, 2021) and demonstrates potential of VR simulation to transform learning from knowledge acquisition and simple task performance to decision making; a key stage in Thalheimer's learning-transfer evaluation model (2018). Shorey & Ng (2021) also found lack of realism to be a major disadvantage of VRS; another benefit of the group approach is that both students and lecturers reported how working in teams to problem solve and manage clinical scenarios added to the realism of the simulation in which both patient and nurse are represented on a big screen as avatars. This, in turn,

built students' confidence, particularly given that they could make mistakes in a safe and supportive environment which can enhance and facilitate learning (Saab et al, 2021).

Concerns have been raised about pedagogical impacts of rapid adoption of VS during the pandemic (Verkuyl, 2022), with calls for its careful integration into curricula particularly where practice gaps exist (Aebersold, 2018). Debriefing has been identified as the most important aspect of simulation (Badowski &Wells-Beede, 2022). In keeping with current evidence, we found that embedding debrief sessions with a critical reflective component was crucial to optimise learning for students (Tamilselvan et al, 2023). Research has shown that educators can be reluctant to use analytics provided by VS technology (Badowski & Wells-Beede, 2022), despite indications that they are effective in 'informing debriefs, directing future teaching content and evaluating outcomes' (Verkuyl et al., 2022, p. 119). Our study highlights the value in facilitating critical review of the scenario during debrief, focusing on the students' perspective and lecturer observations, followed by the system generated feedback and performance score. This motivated students to engage in repetition to improve their own previous performance and compare scores against other peer groups. There has been debate regarding optimal length, timing and formats for debriefs (Badowski & Wells-Beede, 2022). Our approach supports recommended best practice (Verkuyl et al, 2022): that debriefs are structured in accordance with a model and take place immediately following the scenario involving all students in the group. While further focused research is required, in keeping with another study which evaluated synchronous screen based VR Simulation (Penalo & Store, 2023), immediacy of debrief and the group format with peers does seem to positively impact on student learning.

Other strategies to enhance pedagogical rigor of screen-based VR in class are inclusion of a pre-brief, which orients students to the technology, sets expectations for participation and learning objectives, and when possible, gives students choice of scenarios to address self-identified gaps in practice experience. Optimal inclusion of VR simulation within the curriculum has been carefully considered, employing a scaffolding approach to ensure sessions are appropriate to students' level of learning

for which they have relevant pre-requisite skills and knowledge to engage effectively with the VR scenarios. A major barrier to teaching well with VR is a lack of knowledge of its theory and practice (Verkuyl 2022). At first, only educators interested in using VR facilitated the sessions. As this approach is embedded into the curriculum and simulated practice hours increase, all teaching staff undergo training and contribute to its delivery within our pre-registration adult nursing programmes.

Limitations / recommendations

This was a small study focused on one cohort of pre-registration Masters students and lecturers and it is possible that only those that had a positive VR experience responded to the survey. Expansion of the survey to other, larger student cohorts (including those taking the Bachelors degree and in other fields of nursing) and lecturers is recommended, particularly in relation to whether learning in this setting is influenced by student characteristics or degree programme. There is conflicting literature relating to student stress during physical simulation, but debrief may have an important role in decreasing anxiety (Jallad and Isik, 2022). While our study indicates that this novel approach to VR simulation can increase student confidence, this is an area needing further exploration. This study evaluated student experience during screen-based VR in groups; research is required to evaluate impacts of these sessions on learning transfer to clinical practice and patient outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This study has found screen-based VR simulation in groups to be an engaging, enjoyable and costeffective learning experience for pre-registration nursing students and lecturers. Delivering virtual simulation in a group setting has overcome some known challenges of both physical and virtual reality simulation, whilst providing a powerful, immersive learning experience. VR platforms offer opportunities for student learning with highly realistic scenarios which may not be encountered in practice, while promoting development of many important 'soft' skills, such as communication, team working and decision making, that can be difficult to teach effectively in other contexts. Thoughtful consideration of pedagogical aspects of VR, including how and where it is embedded into the curriculum, lecturer training, and student preparation, is key to ensuring this method continues to evolve from a rapid, pragmatic response to the pandemic and ongoing difficulties of securing student placements, to a valid, valued and effective method for educating pre-registration adult nursing students.

References

Aebersold, M. Simulation-Based Learning: No Longer a Novelty in Undergraduate Education. *The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, 2018; 23(2):1-1. doi: 10.3912/OJIN.Vol23No02PPT39.

Badowski D, Wells-Beede E. State of Prebriefing and Debriefing in Virtual Simulation, *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 2022; 62: 42-51. doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2021.10.006

Braun V, Clarke V. Thematic analysis: A practical guide. 2022. Sage.

Brown KM, Swoboda SM, Gilbert GE, Sullivan N. Integrating virtual simulation into nursing education: A roadmap. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 2022;72: 21-29. doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2021.08.002

Bumbach MD, Culross BA, Datta SK. Assessing the Financial Sustainability of High-Fidelity and Virtual Reality Simulation for Nursing Education: A Retrospective Case Analysis. *Computers Informatics Nursing.* 2022;40(9):615-623. doi: 10.1097/CIN.00000000000916.

Cheng W, Ma PK, Lam YY, Ng KC, Ling TK, Yau WH, Chui YW, Tsui HM, Li PP. Effects of Senior Simulation Suit Programme on nursing students' attitudes towards older adults: A randomized controlled trial. *Nurse Education Today*, 2022; 88: 104330. doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104330.

Dolan H, Amidon B, Gephart, S. Evidentiary and theoretical foundations for virtual simulation in nursing education, *Journal of Professional Nursing*. 2021; 37(5): 810-815. doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2021.06.001

Eppich W, Cheng A. Promoting Excellence and Reflective Learning in Simulation (PEARLS), *Simulation in Healthcare*. 2015; 10(2): 106-115. doi.1097/SIH.000000000000002

Foronda C, Fernandez-Burgos M, Nadeau C, Kelley C, Henry M. Virtual simulation in nursing education: A systematic review spanning 1996 to 2018. *Simulation in Healthcare*. 2020; 15(1): 46-54. doi.org/10.1097/sih.000000000000411

Haerling, K. Cost-Utility Analysis of Virtual and Mannequin-Based Simulation. *Simulation in Healthcare*. 2018; 13(1): 33-40. doi: 10.1097/SIH.00000000000280

Health Education England. Enhancing education, clinical practice and staff wellbeing. A national vision for the role of simulation and immersive learning technologies in health care. 2020. <u>https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/National%20Strategic%20Vision%20of%20</u> <u>Sim%20in%20Health%20and%20Care.pdf</u> (accessed 8 February 2024)

International Nursing Association for Clinical Simulation and Learning. Standards of Best Practice. 2016. <u>https://www.inacsl.org/healthcare-simulation-standards</u> (accessed 8 February 2024)

Jallad ST, Işık B. The effectiveness of virtual reality simulation as learning strategy in the acquisition of medical skills in nursing education: a systematic review. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*. 2022; 191(3): 1407-1426. doi: 10.1007/s11845-021-02695-z.

NMC. Simulated Practice Learning. (2023) <u>https://www.nmc.org.uk/standards/guidance/supporting-information-for-our-education-and-training-standards/simulated-practice-learning/</u> (accessed 8 February 2024)

Penalo LM, Store S. The synchronous group virtual simulation experience: Associate degree nursing students' perceptions. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing.* 2023 Jan;18(1):37-43. doi: 10.1016/j.teln.2022.11.002.

Plotzky C, Lindwedel U, Sorber M, Loessl B, König P, Kunze C, Kugler C, Meng M. Virtual reality simulations in nurse education: A systematic mapping review. *Nurse Education Today.* 2021; 101: 104868. doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104868

Shorey S, Ng ED. The use of virtual reality simulation among nursing students and registered nurses: A systematic review. *Nurse Education Today*. 2021; 98: 104662. doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104662

Saab MM, Hegarty J, Murphy D, Landers M. Incorporating virtual reality in nurse education: A qualitative study of nursing students' perspectives. *Nurse Education Today*. 2021; 105: 105045. doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.105045

Tamilselvan C, Chua SM, Chew HSK, Devi MK. Experiences of simulation-based learning among undergraduate nursing students: A systematic review and meta-synthesis. *Nurse Education Today*. 2023; 121: 105711. doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2023.105711

Thalheimer W. The learning-transfer evaluation model: Sending messages to enable learning effectiveness. 2018. <u>https://WorkLearning.com/Catalo</u> (accessed 8 February 2024)

Thomas S. Virtual reality: the next step in nursing education? *British Journal of Nursing*; 2022; 31(14): 756-757. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2022.31.14.756.

Verkuyl M, Taplay K, Job T, O'Keefe-McCarthy S, Atthill S, Goldsworthy S, Atack, L. An educator's toolkit for virtual simulation: A usability study. *Nurse Education Today*. 2022; 119, 105600. doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105600