

1 **Improving the corrosion resistance of MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18} (x = 0, 0.8, 1.4, 2.0) alloys**
2 **via Gd additions**

3 Siqi Yin ^{a, b}, Wenchao Duan ^{a, b}, Wenhong Liu ^c, Liang Wu ^d, Jiaxin Bao ^{a, b}, Jiamin Yu ^e, Liang Li ^f,
4 Zhong Zhao ^g, Jianzhong Cui ^{a, b}, Zhiqiang Zhang ^{a, b, *}

5 ^a *Key Lab of Electromagnetic Processing of Materials, Ministry of Education, Northeastern*
6 *University, Shenyang, 110819, PR China*

7 ^b *College of Materials Science and Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, PR*
8 *China*

9 ^c *College of Information Science and Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, PR*
10 *China*

11 ^d *College of Materials Science and Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 400044, PR*
12 *China*

13 ^e *School of Materials, University of Manchester, Sackville Street, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

14 ^f *Department of Engineering, School of Engineering and Computer Science, University of*
15 *Hertfordshire, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK*

16 ^g *State Key Laboratory of Rolling and Automation, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, PR*
17 *China*

18 *Corresponding author.

19 *E-mail address: zqzhang@mail.neu.edu.cn (Z. Zhang).*

20
21 **ABSTRACT**

22 Effects of Gd addition on microstructure, corrosion behavior and mechanism of cast
23 and extruded MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18} alloys are investigated through microstructure
24 observation, weight loss and electrochemical tests. Increasing Gd from 0 to 2.0 at.%,
25 grains are refined, MgZn₂ phase, W-phase and X-phase **are formed** successively, and
26 basal texture intensity **is decreased**. The significantly decreased grain size by extrusion
27 and Gd addition induces **formation of** protective Gd₂O₃ and MgO layer. The extruded
28 MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{2.0}Zr_{0.18} alloy shows decreased corrosion rate of 3.72 ± 0.36 mm/year, owing
29 to fine and homogeneous microstructure, dual-role (micro-anode and barrier) of X-
30 phase, compact oxidation layer and basal crystallographic texture.

31
32 **Keywords:** A. Magnesium; A. Rare earth elements; B. Polarization; B. XPS; C.
33 Intergranular corrosion; C. Passive films

1. Introduction

Magnesium (Mg) alloys have received increasing potential for engineering applications, such as transportation industry, automobile, electronics and biomedical fields owing to their low density, excellent machinability, positive electromagnetic shield ability and excellent biocompatibility [1-5]. Moreover, as easily recycled and reusable green engineering material, magnesium alloys are clean to the environment without pollution and beneficial to the energy and environmental conservation [6-10]. Unfortunately, the inferior corrosion resistance severely limits the wide application of Mg alloys, which stems from their highly active nature and porous oxide layer [11-15].

In the past few decades, numerous researchers have attempted the alloying approach to enhance their mechanical properties, which is proved to be valid [16-18]. Zn is one of the candidates due to its relatively high solubility (6.2 wt.%) in Mg, and Mg alloys could achieve solid solution strengthening and second phase strengthening by adding Zn [19]. To date, Mg-Zn based alloys containing rare earth (RE) element Gd have exhibited superior comprehensive mechanical properties and creep resistance, related to the high solid solubility of Gd in Mg matrix and the formation of Mg-Zn-Gd ternary phases. For example, the tensile strength of 387 MPa and elongation of 23.2% were achieved in Mg_{97.1}Zn₁Gd_{1.8}Zr_{0.1} (at.%) alloy after 16 passed equal channel angular pressing, mainly because of the generation of massive X-Mg₁₂GdZn phase. [20]. Our previous research also obtained a superior plasticity of 863% at 250 °C and excellent room-temperature strength in Mg-7Zn-5Gd-0.6Zr (wt.%) alloy with quasicrystal I-phase [21]. The ultimate tensile strength, tensile yield strength and elongation of extruded ZK30 (MgZn_{1.2}Zr_{0.18} (at.%) alloy were about 300 MPa, 215 MPa and 9%, respectively [22]. However, the Gd containing MgZn_{1.2}Gd₂Zr_{0.18} (at.%) alloy exhibited more outstanding mechanical properties (ultimate tensile strength, tensile yield strength and elongation were nearly 340 MPa, 230 MPa and 15%) than the extruded MgZn_{1.2}Zr_{0.18} (at.%) alloy [23]. Furthermore, the porous oxide film is a vital factor for inferior corrosion resistance of Mg alloys and the second phases precipitation is also crucial for the micro-galvanic corrosion behavior [24-26]. Simultaneously, dependable information concerning the corrosion properties is limited and only a few scholars have focused on the relationship between the corrosion resistance and alloying element concentration of Mg-Zn-Gd alloys [27]. Generally, RE element Gd is famous as “refining element” because it owns + 3 valence and low electronegativity and primarily forms compounds with noble elements, such as Fe, Cu and so on [28]. Additionally, the Gd oxide film is also stable on corrosion interface and Gd compounds usually own lower potentials in order to reduce general potentials of the cathode phases [29-31]. Zhang *et al.* [32] elucidated that minor Gd addition in MgZn₁Y₂ (at.%) could refine the grain size and form complete network eutectic and large volume fraction of LPSO phase, resulting in better corrosion resistance, and the corrosion rate of cast Mg_{96.5}Zn₂Y₁Gd_{0.5} (at.%) alloy was 11.55 mm/year in 3 wt.% NaCl solution through weight loss test. Wang *et al.* [33] also indicated that the corrosion resistance of Mg-4.75Zn-15.24Gd (wt.%) alloy was improved due to the dual-role of LPSO phase, i.e., anode during the micro-galvanic corrosion and corrosion barrier prevention. The corrosion rate of T6 treated

1 Mg-4.75Zn-15.24Gd (wt.%) alloy in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution was decreased to 4.98
2 mm/y compared with the one under as-cast condition. Simultaneously, contradictory
3 results also exist in other researches. Srinivasan expounded that dramatic micro-
4 galvanic corrosion appeared in Mg-6Zn-10Gd alloy, and the alloy corrosion rate in 0.5
5 wt.% NaCl solution was over 40 mm/year, the reason was that lamellar LPSO phase
6 acted as cathode and facilitated the filiform corrosion [34]. Liu *et al.* [35] observed that
7 the deteriorated corrosion rate of cast Mg-2Zn-15Gd-0.39Zr alloy was mainly caused
8 by the micro-cathode acceleration of β -(Mg, Zn)₃Gd eutectic phase and the LPSO
9 structure X-phase. Miao *et al.* [36] proposed that the characteristic microstructures with
10 numerous non-recrystallization grains and black band structure of extruded Mg-8Gd-
11 2.4Zn (wt.%) alloy led to high ratio of anodic and cathodic regions and inferior
12 corrosion rate of about 0.2 mm/year in Hank's solution through hydrogen evolution test.
13 Based on the published reports, effects of various kinds and morphologies of second
14 phases involving Gd element on the corrosion behaviors of Mg-Zn-Gd alloys are
15 distinct from the alloy compositions and manufacturing technologies. Meanwhile, the
16 corrosion mechanism variation may cause the misunderstanding on the corrosion rate.
17 It's worth noting that the corrosion rates of above-mentioned alloys are much greater
18 than intrinsic Mg (0.3 mm/year) in a concentrated chloride solution, because the
19 alloying elements and second phases could always cause micro-galvanic corrosion to
20 increase the corrosion rate. However, the comprehensive properties of these alloys
21 should be considered for practical applications, and it is crucial to figure out how to
22 improve the corrosion properties of Mg-Zn-Gd alloys by alloying elements and second
23 phases adjustment. Consequently, it is necessary to carry out more systematic and
24 practical researches about the corrosion behavior to investigate the corrosion
25 mechanism and effect of second phases for significantly developed purpose of new
26 structural and engineering Mg-Zn-Gd alloys.

27 Depending on Zn/Gd ratio, basically three ternary equilibrium phases emerge in the
28 cast Mg-Zn-Gd alloys, namely W-Mg₃Gd₂Zn₃ phase, X-Mg₁₂GdZn phase and I-
29 Mg₃Zn₆Gd phase [37]. Although the strengthening and toughening mechanisms
30 of several Mg-Zn-Gd alloys with X-phase or I-phase have been widely carried out, the
31 available information concerning their corrosion properties and mechanism is
32 incomplete. Subsequently, the cast and extruded MgZn_{1.2}Zr_{0.18} alloys with different Gd
33 concentrations of 0, 0.8, 1.4 and 2.0 at.% have been prepared in this work, where the
34 relationship between corrosion mechanism and diverse kinds or contents of second
35 phases was systematically evaluated. The aim of this work is to assess synergistic
36 influence of alloy composition and processing method on the corrosion resistance, and
37 to accelerate the development of Mg alloys that are especially suitable for the industrial
38 application.

39 2. Experimental procedures

40 2.1. Materials

41 The cast MgZn_{1.2}Zr_{0.18}, MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{0.8}Zr_{0.18}, MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{1.4}Zr_{0.18} and
42 MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{2.0}Zr_{0.18} alloys (in at.%, denoted as C0, C1, C2 and C3, respectively) were
43 prepared by smelting Mg (99.98 wt.%), Zn (99.95 wt.%), and Mg-30 wt.% Zr

1 intermediate alloys in a resistance furnace with a protected gas mixture of SF₆ and CO₂
2 at 710 °C. Then different contents of Mg-25 wt.% Gd intermediate alloys were added
3 into molten Mg-Zn-Zr alloys to ensure the uniformity of other alloying elements [36].
4 The cast alloys (solidified in warm copper mold) were homogeneously treated at 430 °C
5 for 12 h. Subsequently, the ingots were indirectly extruded at 400 °C into Φ 12 mm bars
6 under the fixed extrusion ratio of 15, and the corresponding extruded alloys were
7 expressed by E0, E1, E2 and E3, respectively. Chemical constituents of the alloys were
8 detected through the inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-
9 AES; Varian 715-ES). The actual constituents are listed in Table 1. In all cases, the total
10 concentration of Fe, Cu, and Ni impurities is lower than 3 ppm, demonstrating that they
11 did not cause major corrosion response.

12 2.2. Immersion and electrochemical tests

13 The cast and extruded specimens for calculating weight loss and microstructure
14 observation were performed through the immersion test. They were cut into cubes with
15 the dimension of 10 mm × 10 mm × 5 mm, then grinded with several SiC papers and
16 mechanical polishing. The immersion test was conducted at 25 ± 1 °C in 3.5 wt.% NaCl
17 solution and the ratio of media volume to sample surface was 30 mL/cm² [23]. The
18 tested NaCl solution was prepared through dissolving 72.5 g NaCl into 2000 mL
19 distilled water, and then about 1 g Mg(OH)₂ was added into the solution and stewed for
20 2 h. Subsequently, the solution was stirred with a glass rod for 10 min to make it
21 equilibrated, then it was filtered with a slow filter paper for three times to eliminate the
22 impurities. The NaCl solution was saturated with Mg(OH)₂ before corrosion
23 experiments to maintain a stable pH value. After 24 h immersion, specimens were
24 cleaned with chromate acid containing 50 g CrO₃, 2.5 g AgNO₃ and 250 mL water,
25 followed with ultrasonic cleaning, dried and weighted. The steady state corrosion rate
26 obtained from the weight loss could be expressed as CR (mm/year) and calculated by
27 the following formula on account of ASTM G31-72 [38]:

$$28 \quad CR = \frac{8.76 \times 10^4 \times \Delta g}{A \times t \times \rho} \quad (1)$$

29 Where Δg is the value of loss in weight (g), A refers to the sample surface area (cm²),
30 ρ is the alloy density (g/cm³), and t refers to the immersion time (h). The alloy density
31 was evaluated on basis of Archimedes theory.

32 The potentiodynamic polarization and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)
33 were acquired through a standard three-electrode cell electrochemical workstation
34 (Princeton VersaSTAT 3F, AMETEK, Inc., USA) in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution. A
35 platinum plate electrode (CE) acted as the counter electrode and a saturated calomel
36 electrode (SCE) was the reference electrode. Mg alloy specimen as the working
37 electrode (WE) with surface area of 1 cm² was taken along the extruded longitudinal
38 section. Potentiodynamic polarization curve was performed with a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹
39 after stabilization at open-circuit potential (OCP) for 3600 s. Tafel extrapolation
40 method on cathodic branches was applied to evaluate the corrosion current density (I_{corr})
41 at corrosion potential (E_{corr}). EIS was conducted from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz frequency
42 range under a 5 mV sinusoidal perturbing signal. The obtained Nyquist plots, Bode
43 impedance and phase angle plots were fitted through ZView 3.10 software. The

1 hydrogen evolution reflected Mg^{2+} dissolved to the solution, so the hydrogen evolution
2 rates represented alloy corrosion rates to some extent. Consequently, the instantaneous
3 corrosion rate (P_i) derived from the hydrogen volume measurement could be estimated
4 through Tafel extrapolation of the cathodic branch curves by the following equation [39,
5 40]:

$$6 \quad P_i = 22.85 I_{corr} \quad (2)$$

7 Each measurement was carried out in triplicate to ensure reproducibility and
8 reliability.

9 2.3. Microstructures characterization

10 The specimens for microstructures observation were mechanically ground and
11 polished by diamond paste, then were etched by a picric acid and acetic acid solution.
12 Microstructures and morphologies of corroded surfaces were observed by polarized
13 microscopy (Olympus SX-53, Japan) and scanning electron microscope (SEM; Zeiss
14 Ultra 55, Germany) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyzer. The
15 phase identifications were carried out using an X-ray diffraction (XRD; D8 Advance,
16 Germany). The diffraction peaks were normalized to quantitatively and qualitatively
17 analyze the phases. The oxide layer on the alloy surface was probed with X-ray
18 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; ESCALAB 250Xi, USA) with Al $K\alpha$ radiation
19 (1486.6 eV) and 150 W power after the alloy immersed for 2 h in 3.5 wt.% NaCl
20 solution and 24 h quiescence in dried air. Data were obtained after 30 s ion etching. The
21 profile of atomic percent of Mg, Gd, O and C elements was acquired by depth sputtering
22 rate of 4.5 nm/min. All energy values were standardized on account of the adventitious
23 C 1s signal (284.6 eV). The relevant result was processed by Xpspeak 4.1 software. The
24 grain sizes and phases volume fractions were approximated by linear intercept method
25 through more than ten fields of view with Image-Pro plus software. A scanning Kelvin
26 probe force microscope (SKPFM; MFP-3D Asylum Research, Germany) was used to
27 gauge the localized potential distribution, and the SKPFM results were manipulated
28 using Asylum Research software. The electron back scattering diffraction (EBSD) tests
29 were performed over longitudinal section of the specimens by Zeiss Ultra 55
30 microscopy with the step length of 0.5 μm . The acquired result was dealt with the
31 orientation imaging microscopy software HKL-Channel 5 and the measured pole
32 figures containing {0002} and {11-20}. The extruding direction (ED), transverse
33 direction (TD) and normal direction (ND) represented different directions in extrusion.

34 3. Results

35 3.1. Microstructures analysis

36 Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of experimental alloys. It indicates that C1 and C2
37 alloys contain α -Mg matrix and $W-Mg_3Gd_2Zn_3$ phase, whereas the C3 alloy is
38 composed of $X-Mg_{12}GdZn$ and minor $Mg_5(Gd, Zn)$ phases. There is only a weak
39 $MgZn_2$ phase diffraction peak detected in the C0 alloy, demonstrating it is composed of
40 α -Mg matrix and minor $MgZn_2$ phase. During the hot extrusion deformation, $MgZn_2$
41 phase and W-phase show no obvious change but the $Mg_5(Gd, Zn)$ phase is dissolved
42 into the matrix. The intensity of X-phase diffraction peaks is stronger than those in cast

1 condition due to the dynamic precipitation in the supersaturated solid solution [23].

2 Fig. 2 exhibits the microstructures and grain sizes distribution of the alloys. The cast
3 alloys show typical equiaxed grains and the grain sizes **decrease** with increasing Gd
4 **concentration**. The average grain sizes of the four cast alloys are $104 \pm 10 \mu\text{m}$, 74 ± 9
5 μm , $68 \pm 11 \mu\text{m}$ and $57 \pm 11 \mu\text{m}$, respectively. Almost complete dynamic
6 recrystallization happens during **the** hot extrusion deformation and the average grain
7 sizes of the extruded alloys are obviously refined to $27 \pm 3 \mu\text{m}$, $7 \pm 1 \mu\text{m}$, $5 \pm 1 \mu\text{m}$ and
8 $3 \pm 1 \mu\text{m}$, respectively.

9 The representative SEM images are presented in Fig. 3. It is clearly seen in Fig. 3a₁
10 that some intermetallic phase particles distribute along the grain boundaries and its
11 volume fraction is only 0.8%. According to the EDX analysis, the granular second
12 phase is composed of Mg (35.26 at.%), Zn (64.55 at.%) and Zr (0.19 at.%). **It** could be
13 confirmed that the intermetallic phase is MgZn₂ phase combined with the XRD results
14 in Fig. 1. With the increase of Gd element, microstructures of C1 and C2 alloys are
15 characterized by the white net-like eutectic structure at grain boundaries with the
16 volume fraction of 14.8% and 18.7%, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3a₂ and a₃. EDX
17 examination result taken at the net-like second phase regions is Mg (62.69 at.%), Zn
18 (21.60 at.%) and Gd (15.69 at.%). **The** Zn to Gd ratio is 1.38, which is close to W-
19 Mg₃Gd₂Zn₃ phase together with the XRD result (Fig. 1). Similarly, **the** volume fraction
20 of white net-like W-phase is 18.1% in C3 alloy, and about 5.4% of the grey flaked
21 structure **is** adjacent to the W-phase, which involves Mg-10.35 at.% Zn-9.46 at.% Gd
22 as shown in Fig. 3a₄. The Zn/Gd ratio is 1.09, which is **in** accordance with X-Mg₁₂GdZn
23 phase showed in XRD result. The white particles in C3 alloy **show** very regular block
24 shape. **The** EDS elemental analysis indicates that it consists of Mg (81 at.%) and minor
25 Gd and Zn, and it is normally referred **to** as Mg₅(Gd, Zn) phase. The Mg₅(Gd, Zn) phase
26 is almost dissolved and part of W-phase is changed into X-phase during **the**
27 homogenization treatment of 430 °C for 12 h [23].

28 Through 400 °C extrusion, the second phases are crushed into very small particles
29 and distributing parallel to ED. The volume fraction of MgZn₂ phase is also 0.8% after
30 extrusion in E0 alloy (Fig. 3b₁). It is demonstrated in Fig. 3b₂ and b₃ that large volume
31 fraction of block-like phase is the crushed W-phase and its chemical constitution is Zn
32 (42.39 at.%) and Gd (27.13 at.%). The volume percent of W-phase is almost identical
33 to the cast alloys. Meanwhile, X-phase is partly fractured into lamellar-like and **several**
34 bulk-like W-phase could be observed inside the X-phase as displayed in Fig. 3b₄.
35 Accordingly, the corresponding average grain sizes and second phase volume fractions
36 are displayed in Fig. 4 and Table 2. It is clear that the grain sizes decrease sharply after
37 **the** extrusion **deformation** and the volume fractions of second phases in C0 and E0, C1
38 and E1, C2 and E2 alloys are nearly identical in **the** cast and extruded conditions. The
39 volume fraction **of** X-phase in E3 alloy is higher due to the phase transformation of W-
40 phase during the homogenization and extrusion processes.

41 3.2. Corrosion behavior of cast and extruded alloys

42 3.2.1. Potentiodynamic polarization measurement

43 The OCP of **the** cast and extruded alloys is determined in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for
44 a period of 3600 s to reveal the variation of electrode potential with soaking time, as

1 displayed in Fig. 5a. The OCP vs. time curves could be divided into three stages. During
2 the monitoring stage, the OCP values increase rapidly within 600 s and then gradually
3 increase to approximately steady potentials. The values fluctuate within a narrow range
4 with the increasing immersion time. The relatively steady OCP values are shown in
5 Table 3, and the E3 alloy exhibits the most positive OCP value of -1.572 ± 0.015 V. It
6 is noticeable for E3 alloy that a fluctuation occurs at about 3000 s and subsequently
7 reaches another stable OCP value combined with discernible corrosion pits on the
8 surface, which is due to the hydrogen bubbles formation on the surface and the
9 following $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ layer formation [41]. Additionally, the sudden decrease at the end
10 of peak value for C1 and C3 alloys is probably attributed to the activation actions, such
11 as Cl^- absorption on the native oxide film, a large amount of cathodic second phases in
12 the matrix and “cathodic activation” ($2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- = 2\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2$) reaction.

13 The potentiodynamic polarization experiment is performed to evaluate the
14 instantaneous polarization response as described in Fig. 5b. Normally, the cathodic
15 reaction is associated with hydrogen evolution ($\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + 1/2\text{H}_2$), and the
16 anodic reaction refers to matrix dissolution process ($\text{Mg} \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^-$) [11]. It is clearly
17 seen in Fig. 5b that the cathodic branches exhibit extensive linear Tafel region, and the
18 fitted corrosion parameters of E_{corr} , I_{corr} and P_i are listed in Table 3. For the cathodic
19 branches, extrusion deformation decreases the cathodic current, revealing a restrained
20 corrosion rate owing to the cathodic corrosion reaction in terms of kinetics [42]. The
21 relatively low I_{corr} and P_i values of E3 alloy are due to the inferior micro-galvanic
22 corrosion accelerating result of X-phase through generating micro-galvanic couple with
23 Mg matrix. By considering the anodic branches, an extended low-current region
24 appears, revealing that protective $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ corrosion product film is developed on each
25 alloy surface during the immersion. The dense corrosion product film could hinder the
26 anodic dissolution of the alloys [43, 44]. In addition, the difference between the pitting
27 potential and E_{corr} of E3 alloy is almost 100 mV, which is higher than the other alloys.
28 The higher value indicates better corrosion resistance of the oxidation film to chloride
29 corrosion and restrained corrosion tendency in thermodynamics [45, 46].

3.2.2. Weight loss experiment

31 The corrosion rates obtained according to the weight loss experiment and Tafel
32 extrapolation method are displayed in Fig. 6. Expectedly, the corrosion rate of E3 alloy
33 is 3.72 ± 0.36 mm/year, which is the lowest among these alloys. It is worth noting that
34 the corrosion rates of the experimental alloys are quite higher than the intrinsic Mg (0.3
35 mm/year) in a concentrated chloride solution. It is attributed to the large amount of
36 micro-galvanic corrosion caused by alloying elements, and the influence of impurity
37 particles. With Gd content variation, the corrosion rates at cast condition could be
38 ranked as: $\text{C3} < \text{C0} < \text{C1} < \text{C2}$, and also ranked as: $\text{E3} < \text{E1} < \text{E0} < \text{E2}$ at extruded
39 condition. The variation trend of the extruded condition is similar to the cast condition.
40 Meanwhile, the overall corrosion rates of extruded alloys are lower than the cast alloys.
41 It is worth noting that the corrosion rates calculated from the weight loss test are
42 relatively higher than that determined from Tafel extrapolation method. It is mainly
43 because that P_i is the measurement of electrochemical part and CR is the total corrosion
44 reaction measurement. Besides, the Tafel extrapolation measurement represents the

1 momentary specimen immersion and the corrosion rate is not related to steady state
2 corrosion [47, 48]. Generally, it exhibits a strange phenomenon that both the amount of
3 Mg^{2+} ions and hydrogen evolution increase during anodic polarization of Mg alloys.
4 The reason is that the part of the corrosion reaction is chemical rather than
5 electrochemical. The value of CR (corrosion rate measured by weight loss test) is the
6 total corrosion reaction rate, and the value of P_i (corrosion rate measured by Tafel
7 extrapolation method) is the electrochemical part corrosion rate of the total corrosion
8 reaction. The consequence is that the ratio of CR/P_i would be greater than 1. Results
9 demonstrate that the deviation of corrosion rates exists between electrochemical and
10 weight loss tests, but they reveal similar regularity. The Tafel extrapolation method is a
11 meaningful assistant for evaluation of the corrosion rate because it is quick and easy.

12 3.2.3 Electrochemical impedance spectrum

13 Fig. 7 exhibits the corresponding Nyquist and Bode curves of EIS measurement in
14 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution. The impedance spectra (Fig. 7a) of C0, C1, C2, E0 and E2
15 alloys are composed of two definite capacitance loops at high frequency and middle
16 frequency ranges, indicating the charge transfer by corrosion product layer and charge
17 transfer reaction (localized corrosion) at the alloy surface, respectively. Additionally,
18 an inductance loop at low frequency range indicates the mass diffusion of ions by
19 porous corrosion product layer [49, 50]. The radiuses of the loops are different, which
20 indicates the identical corrosion mechanism with diverse corrosion rates. Conversely,
21 only a well-defined high frequency capacitance loop and a low frequency inductance
22 loop are existed in C3, E1 and E3 alloys, suggesting different corrosion mechanisms
23 with different corrosion rates [51]. With continuous increase of Gd, the capacitive loop
24 radiuses of both cast and extruded alloys show a fluctuant tendency, and also the
25 radiuses of capacitive loops increase after extrusion, suggesting higher charge transfer
26 resistance and more protective oxide film. It is noticed in phase angle *vs.* frequency
27 diagram (Fig. 7b) that obvious wave crests appear in high frequency region, and the
28 peak value and full width at half maximum of the phase angle are higher for extruded
29 alloys. The phenomenon manifests smaller capacitance and bigger resistance, along
30 with lower corrosion rates [52]. The impedance modulus *vs.* frequency curves (Fig. 7b)
31 illustrate that the E3 alloy owns the highest impedance modulus, representing the
32 largest polarization resistance and optimal corrosion resistance performance [53, 54].

33 A compatible equivalent circuit with the scheme of the layers is displayed in Fig. 8,
34 and the fitting data is listed in Table 4, aiming to further illustrate the corrosion
35 mechanism of the alloys. In Fig. 8, R_s refers to the solution resistance; the CPE_{dl} and
36 R_{ct} refer to electric double layer capacitor and charge transfer resistance from alloy
37 surface to the solution (capacitive loop at high frequency region); CPE_f and R_f are the
38 corrosion product film capacitance and surface film resistance (capacitive loop at
39 medium frequency region); the inductance L and resistance R_L in series describes the
40 breakdown of fragmentary protective layer in the effect of absorbed Mg^+ reaction with
41 H_2O (inductance loop at low frequency region) [55]. Two parameters Y_0 and n are used
42 to define the CPE components, Y_0 is the non-ideal capacitance which causes by the
43 second phases, cracks and impurities, and n represents the distribution index which
44 ranges between 0 and 1. Combined with Table 4, R_s values are almost unchanged among

1 the experimental alloys because R_s is irrelevant with electrode process. The fitting R_{ct}
2 and R_f results of extruded alloys are higher than the cast alloys, implying the lower
3 dissolution rate and higher protective impact on the surface film. Accordingly, the lower
4 CPE_{dl} and CPE_f values of extruded alloys indicate the smaller corrosion area and denser
5 oxide film on the alloy surface. In particular, the lowest CPE_{dl} and highest R_{ct} values of
6 E3 alloy demonstrate the best corrosion resistance. Meanwhile, the Brug formula [56]
7 is applied to evaluate the double layer capacitance CPE_{dl} , and the dielectric capacitance
8 CPE_f is verified through the Orazem formula [57-59] and Hsu and Mansfeld expression
9 [60]. The values and variation tendency derived from the above expressions are
10 consistent with the fitted data by Zview software as shown in Table 4. The EIS results
11 further agrees with the potentiodynamic polarization experiment, which reflects that the
12 amount of micro-galvanic corrosion couples of MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18} alloys can be reduced
13 by increasing Gd content and extrusion deformation.

14 3.3. Local potential distribution in experimental alloys

15 Fig. 9 illustrates the surface Volta potential maps and corresponding localized
16 potential distribution results between second phase and matrix. The dark areas in Volta
17 potential maps are equal to more negative potential while the bright regions are
18 electrochemically nobler than the dark areas. It is distinct that the local potential of the
19 second phase is higher than the matrix, and the average Volta potential difference of
20 W-phase and the matrix, X-phase and the matrix is about 150 mV and 90 mV,
21 respectively, implying W-phase and X-phase serve as micro-cathodes during corrosion.
22 Moreover, partial X-phase is sacrificed in the form of micro-anode in the W-phase and
23 X-phase coexisted C3 and E3 alloys to reduce the Mg matrix corrosion. The larger
24 contact area between cathode and anode leads to a more reduced Mg matrix corrosion
25 condition, which significantly affects the galvanic corrosion rate. Similarly, the Volta
26 potential between the strip-like X-phase and matrix in E3 alloy is nearly equal to the
27 cast condition, representing the lamellar eutectic structure at the grain boundaries is
28 crushed into banded phase along the ED during extrusion process.

29 3.4. Corrosion morphology and surface film after immersion

30 Fig. 10 exhibits the secondary electron morphologies of the alloys after 2 h and 24 h
31 soaking in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution. The corrosion surface with corrosion product is
32 shown at the upper right corner (under the same magnification). It is visible when the
33 soaking time prolongs from 2 h to 24 h, fresh metallic surface disappears, and
34 the corrosion areas extend to the whole surface in the cast and extruded conditions. In
35 addition, the corrosion product layer of cast alloys is thicker than extruded alloys. With
36 increasing Gd content, the most serious corrosion occurs in the C2 and E2 alloys, and
37 part of initial matrix is presented on E3 alloy surface, demonstrating lower localized
38 corrosion and diffusion rate.

39 Secondary electron microstructures of corrosion surface with removal of corrosion
40 products are also provided in Fig. 10. With soaking time varies from 2 h to 24 h, the
41 corrosion originates from second phase boundaries, subsequently continues to spread
42 toward the center of cast alloy grains. In the extruded condition, corrosion begins
43 around the elongated second phases, and a fraction of deep corrosion pits and grooves
44 are formed after 24 h immersion. Part of fresh matrix exists in the alloys exposed for 2

1 h, while severe corrosion pits spread over the entire surface after 24 h immersion, which
2 indicates inferior corrosion resistance. It is worth noting that the corrosion starts at the
3 interface of MgZn₂ phase and α -Mg matrix of C0 alloy, and the MgZn₂ phase falls off
4 rapidly after the corrosion of surrounding α -Mg matrix. The minor content of MgZn₂
5 particles is ineffective on corrosion inhibition. Analogously, deeper honeycomb
6 corrosion pits could be observed in E2 alloy compared with the other two extruded
7 alloys. The result is in consistent with the weight loss and electrochemical
8 measurements.

9 Fig. 11 reveals the morphologies of corrosion surface at high magnifications (under
10 different magnifications to intuitively observe the corrosion phenomenon) of the alloys
11 immersed for different time intervals. It is visually observed in Fig. 11a₁ and a₅ that the
12 corrosion initiates at the grain boundaries and near the MgZn₂ phase. Then the matrix
13 is corroded and extended quickly along the grain boundaries and ED. For C1 alloy
14 (containing W-phase), initially, the matrix adjacent to eutectic W-phase is eroded
15 through micro-galvanic corrosion, and the W-phase serve for micro-cathode during the
16 corrosion process. With increasing corrosion time, corrosion rapidly spreads toward
17 central region of the grains (Fig. 11d₂) and some corrosion pits could be found (Fig.
18 11c₂) due to the detached W-phase. Obviously, the identical corrosion mechanism and
19 process could be seen in more Gd containing C2 alloy. Besides, the more severe
20 corrosion of C2 alloy compared with C1 alloy is owing to the larger quantity of strong
21 cathode W-phase. The corrosion of X-phase and W-phase containing C3 alloy also starts
22 at the second phase boundaries as displayed in Fig. 11a₄, particularly Mg matrix next
23 to W-phase. After 24 h corrosion, some lamellar X-phase could be seen sunk from the
24 surface (Fig. 11d₄), demonstrating superficial X-phase is depleted as micro-anode with
25 W-phase (micro-cathode) to decrease the erosion area of substrate. For E1 alloy, the
26 granular W-phase which cracked during the extrusion still acts as micro-cathode to
27 expedite the Mg matrix collapse (Fig. 11a₆). Plenty of corrosion pits exist in E2 alloy
28 after immersion for 6 h (Fig. 11b₇), suggesting the W-phase particles detachment and
29 inferior corrosion resistance. With the prolonged immersion time, micro-galvanic
30 corrosion happens and forms very small pits, and then the pits propagate transversely
31 around the dissolved α -Mg matrix and distribute more intensively. Afterwards, the
32 corrosive medium Cl⁻ penetrates part of the porous film (formed with the micro-
33 galvanic reactions) and reaches the fresh α -Mg surface. The ambient matrix is
34 continually corroded toward depth direction, resulting in the formation of pitting
35 corrosion and the corrosion cycles in the light of this model.

36 In order to better understand the corrosion behavior of the alloys with various second
37 phases, Fig. 12 manifests the morphologies of C2 and C3 alloys at longitudinal section
38 after 24 h immersion. It is perceptible from Fig. 12a that some corrosion pits occur in
39 the Mg matrix, because the net-like W-phase could not serve as barrier to hinder the
40 solution penetration. The continuously distributed X-phase with lamellar morphology
41 forms an interface as the corrosion barrier to impede the Mg matrix corrosion. However,
42 the corrosion rate of C3 alloy is still quite higher than the intrinsic Mg due to its higher
43 alloying content.

44 Fig. 13 presents the XPS results of the formed corrosion product layer. The survey

1 scanning spectrum of the corrosion products (Fig. 13a) reveals the existence of Mg, O,
2 Gd, C, Zn and Zr elements in the two E3 alloys under different conditions, and no
3 significant differences exist on XPS spectra. Fig. 13b shows the variation of atomic
4 percent with the etch time. It is obvious that the percent of Mg increases and O decreases
5 with the **increasing** etch time and film depth, which reaches a relatively stable state after
6 360 s etch (~27 nm thickness). The high resolution spectra for Mg 1s (Fig. 13c, d) are
7 divided into two peaks which could be fitted by MgO and Mg, MgO and Mg(OH)₂ of
8 the two alloys, respectively. The peaks located at 531.2 eV and 142.7 eV in O 1s and
9 Gd 4d spectrum of the alloy in dried air **indicate** the presence of Gd₂O₃ on the oxide
10 film, which demonstrates the **participation of** dissolved Gd in the matrix **during** the film
11 formation. The Gd₂O₃ oxide is nonreactive to the NaCl solution, which is **a** protective
12 oxide film **on the** Mg-Zn-Gd alloy. Besides, **the** Mg(OH)₂ peak exists in the Mg 1s and
13 O 1s spectrum of the alloy immersion in NaCl solution, which is mainly attributed to
14 the OH⁻ in the solution [61]. Consequently, the predominant corrosion products of the
15 alloy quiescence in dried air are compact and dense MgO and Gd₂O₃. Due to the uneven
16 and traces of inert Gd₂O₃ oxide film, the protective effect is still restricted, leading to **a**
17 much greater corrosion rate than the intrinsic Mg. The porous Mg(OH)₂ layer appears
18 in the alloy immersed in NaCl solution.

19 **4. Discussion**

20 Results of microstructures and corrosion rate tests demonstrate that with the Gd
21 addition from 0 to 2.0 at.%, there are differences in grain size, second phase and
22 crystallographic texture (Fig. 14) of the cast and extruded MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18} alloys as
23 displayed in Fig. 4. These factors lead to **significant** difference in corrosion performance
24 for **the** Mg-Zn-Gd alloys. **I**t is worth noting that the corrosion rates of the experimental
25 alloys are still quite higher than the intrinsic Mg in a concentrated chloride solution.
26 **The reason is** that large amount of micro-galvanic corrosion caused by alloying
27 elements and second phases could always deteriorate the corrosion behavior of the
28 alloys. **The** influence of microstructures caused by alloying element Gd variations on
29 the corrosion performance is discussed in detail.

30 It is known that different α -Mg grains with different crystal orientations and exposed
31 crystal planes could produce different electrochemical properties due to their unique
32 surface energy and atomic density [62]. In general, the corrosion rate on {0002} basal
33 plane is **the** most stable because it owns higher atomic density of 1.13×10^{15} compared
34 with the non-basal planes, higher binding energy and activation energy for dissolution
35 [62]. Jiang *et al.* [63] **proposed** that the increased basal plane intensity in the
36 crystallographic texture of **the** extruded AZ31 sheet resulted in higher atomic
37 coordination with more-closely packed plane and the decreased corrosion rate. As
38 indicated in the weight loss test (Fig. 6) and electrochemical test (Fig. 7), the extruded
39 alloys show relatively preferable corrosion resistance than **the** cast alloys. The
40 corresponding EBSD orientation maps and pole figures are displayed in Fig. 14. The
41 orientation of {0002} basal plane is preferentially along the ED and a majority of grains
42 orientate with {0002} <10-10>, implying representative basal texture of extruded alloys
43 [64]. The maximum basal texture intensity of Gd-free E0 alloy is 8.58, and the

1 increasing Gd addition leads to the decreasing of the maximum basal texture intensity
2 to 1.76, indicating element Gd could slightly weaken the conventional texture intensity
3 of the $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_x\text{Zr}_{0.18}$ alloys. Stanford [65] revealed that addition of Ca weakened the
4 extrusion texture of Mg-Mn alloys, and element Ca behaved in a regular manner to RE
5 elements, and it was due to the large radius of Ca atom. Liu *et al.* [66] observed that the
6 maximum texture intensity of coarse grains was stronger than the fine grains for
7 extruded Ca added Mg alloys, and the relatively strong basal texture intensity was
8 related to the increased fraction of coarse grains. It is shown in Fig. 2b that the grain
9 sizes decrease with the increasing Gd content after extrusion, which could lead to the
10 weak basal texture intensity. As shown in Fig. 14a, the largest fraction of basal plane
11 orientation is observed through 3D exhibition in E0 alloy, and it could be expected that
12 shallow corrosion depth exists in this alloy. As illustrated in Fig. 14d, the E3 alloy
13 presents a relatively weak crystallographic texture and more random distribution of
14 grain orientation, suggesting micro-galvanic corrosion could occur among the grains
15 with different crystallographic orientations. Similar results of lower cathodic I_{corr} could
16 also be seen in Fig. 5. Although crystallographic texture plays a critical part in the
17 extruded $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_x\text{Zr}_{0.18}$ alloys corrosion, the whole corrosion behavior is under the
18 comprehensive influences.

19 The improvement of strength and plasticity through grain refinement has gained
20 much attention of Mg alloys. Recently, several researches have stated the influence of
21 grain sizes on Mg alloys corrosion behavior. The effects are complicated and
22 conclusions are contradictory. Among some intricate propositions, the physical
23 corrosion barrier effect and preferentially corroded grain boundaries with
24 crystallographic defect are two major perspectives during Mg alloys corrosion [67, 68].
25 Birbilis *et al.* [69] concluded that the significantly decreased grain size led to lower I_{corr}
26 and better corrosion resistance, which was due to thicker and denser passive film on the
27 corroded surface in ECAPed pure Mg. In this work, the average grain size decreases
28 sharply through extrusion deformation, and it also decreases with increasing Gd. The
29 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$ alloy exhibits far bigger average grain size compared with the alloys
30 containing Gd as shown in Figs. 2 and 6. Almost completely dynamic recrystallized
31 (DRXed) grains appear during extrusion process (Fig. 2), which results in grain
32 refinement compared with the cast alloys. Moreover, according to the latest reports, the
33 addition of Gd makes an impact on grain refining through restraining the grain
34 boundaries migration [20]. It has been proved that grain boundaries are favorable
35 nucleation sites for a more protective corrosion product film formation [70, 71], which
36 is the physical corrosion barrier to retard the corrosion expansion as shown in Table 4
37 with the lower CPF_f value (passive surface film) and Fig. 13 (stable MgO protective
38 layer). Meanwhile, Song *et al.* [72] reported that the formation of surface film on Mg
39 alloys was related to the OCP *vs.* time curves. The surface film formation mechanism
40 related to the OCP *vs.* time curves (Fig. 5a) could be explained as [73]: (1) The
41 improved OCP values during the initial stage is related to the oxide film formation on
42 the fresh surface of the alloys; (2) At the gradually increased OCP value stage,
43 $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ film is formed, and the Cl^- is also absorbed on the film to bring some negative
44 charges, leading to lower increased OCP values; (3) The breakdown and formation of

1 Mg(OH)₂ film is alternatively occurred in the fluctuant stage to reach an equilibrium
2 reaction. **Furthermore**, refined grains may also weaken the surface film cracking as a
3 consequence of lower residual stress in surface film. **The** lowest corrosion rate obtained
4 in E3 alloy with the finest grains, and the schematic illustration of the grain size and
5 corrosion layer combined effects are demonstrated in Fig. 15. In Fig. 15a, discontinuous
6 MgO surface layer forms **in E1 alloy** under the condition of relatively coarse grain size,
7 and it could not hinder the penetration of Cl⁻ into α-Mg matrix. Bland *et al.* [74] has
8 confirmed that primary MgO film nucleated on Mg alloy surface and the plate-like
9 Mg(OH)₂ film formed subsequently due to the hydration of inner MgO film. In a way,
10 α-Mg is the anodic sites to preferentially dissolve and form Mg(OH)₂ through **the**
11 reaction with OH⁻ in the electrolyte. Unfortunately, the generated Mg(OH)₂ product
12 layer is porous and could not effectively obstruct the Mg anodic corrosion. With the
13 increasing corrosion process, accumulated H₂ bubbles generate at the cathodic sites on
14 the alloy surface. The rupture **and detachment** of corrosion product from the substrate
15 would happen once the local hydrogen pressure passes the critical value [11]. **The**
16 surface layer composition of Gd-free MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18} alloy is similar with the low-Gd
17 containing MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{0.8}Zr_{0.18} alloy. **Meanwhile**, the higher **content** of Gd atoms could
18 **penetrate** in the surface hydroxide layer, **so as** to generate **a** stable protective layer and
19 enhance the protective effect. When the Gd content is 2.0 at.%, continuously distributed
20 surface layer which contains dense and compact MgO and Gd₂O₃ is observed on the
21 fine-grained E3 alloy as shown in Figs. 13e and 15b. This implies that abundant grain
22 boundaries might offer the possibility for the formation of strong protective film, **and**
23 **the** Gd₂O₃ layer can inhibit the penetration of harmful Cl⁻ [75]. **In addition**, the lamellar
24 X-phase could act as anodic sites due to **the** lower Volta potential **with W-phase** to
25 reduce the α-Mg matrix corrosion. The surface layer which contains intact MgO and
26 Gd₂O₃ would essentially prevent the alloy from corrosion expansion.

27 Generally, the second phase types, volume contents, morphologies and distributions
28 play different roles in **the corrosion of** high alloying containing Mg alloys due to the
29 micro-galvanic accelerating and corrosion shielding effects [34, 76]. Due to the absence
30 of Gd, the **E0 alloy shows** typical eutectic grain morphology and large grain size,
31 revealing the **limited** grain refining effect of trace Zn and Zr. The element Zn is pushed
32 into the grain boundaries during the solidification and forms a small amount of MgZn₂
33 phase (Fig. 3a₁). In the Gd containing MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18} alloys, as shown in Fig. 3, the
34 solute Gd and Zn atoms are concentrated at the front of solid-fluid interface and near
35 the grain boundaries together with the α-Mg grain nucleation during the non-
36 equilibrium solidifying process. **Due to the low content of Gd in E1 alloy**, the **single**
37 eutectic W-phase precipitates at the grain boundaries. Increasing the Gd **content** to 1.4
38 at.% (E2 alloy), **the** higher volume fraction of W-phase precipitates. With continuously
39 increased Gd content **to 2.0 at.%** of E3 alloy, X-phase initially precipitates near the
40 grain boundaries and grows into the grains. **Due to the higher solution temperature of**
41 **X-phase and the fluctuant alloying elements concentration**, **then** the W-phase emerges
42 around X-phase. After extrusion deformation, the second phase types are not changed
43 but the original network eutectic phases are crushed into particles and distribute parallel
44 to the ED as shown in Figs. 1 and 3. Fig. 11a₅ distinctly shows that the micro-galvanic

1 corrosion occurs in the α -Mg matrix next to $MgZn_2$ particles, demonstrating the $MgZn_2$
2 phase serves as micro-galvanic cathode to accelerate the erosion process. The
3 relatively inferior corrosion resistance of $MgZn_{1.2}Zr_{0.18}$ alloy is demonstrated through
4 above microstructures characterization. Furthermore, the corrosion performance of
5 relevant Mg-Zn (-Zr) alloys in literature are summarized in Table 5 to make a
6 comparison with this work. It could be seen in Table 5 that the corrosion behavior of
7 the Mg-Zn-Zr alloys is consistent with the result in this work. It is clear from Fig. 9 that
8 W-phase and X-phase are electrochemically nobler than the matrix and they act as
9 cathode to consume the matrix, resulting in preferential corrosion of Mg matrix.
10 Nonetheless, the X-phase is less efficient cathodes compared with W-phase and acts as
11 micro-galvanic anode (W-phase acts as the cathode) during corrosion to protect Mg
12 matrix from corrosion (Fig. 11d₄). In this sense, the lamellar-like X-phase in E3 alloy
13 is continuously distributed along the ED, which could effectively impede the corrosion
14 extension from grain to grain. Oppositely, due to the higher Volta potential difference
15 between the W-phase and Mg matrix in E1 and E2 alloys as shown in Fig. 10b₄ and c₄,
16 plenty of micro-galvanic couples are formed to consume the Mg matrix. The more
17 deteriorated corrosion surface of E2 alloy is owing to larger volume fraction of W-
18 phase. In the E2 alloy, micro-galvanic corrosion accelerates the collapse process, which
19 appears severe pitting corrosion (Fig. 10c₁). The relatively superior corrosion resistance
20 of present high Gd containing E3 alloy is partially concerned with the decreased micro-
21 galvanic result of the matrix and X-phase, finely and uniformly distributed grains and
22 more protective surface film.

23 The effects of second phases, grain sizes and texture on corrosion behaviors of
24 extruded alloys are schematically explained in Fig. 16 to interpret the comprehensive
25 corrosion mechanism. Therefore, the reason for the correspondingly preferable
26 corrosion resistance of high Gd content Mg-Zn-Gd-Zr alloys is attributed to the
27 comprehensive effects of fine grains and high concentration of Gd to form the
28 protective surface layer, lamellar-like X-phase and the basal crystallographic texture.

29 5. Conclusions

30 The effect of Gd content on corrosion behavior and mechanism of $MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18}$
31 alloys exposed in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution was investigated, the following conclusions
32 can be obtained:

33 (1) Increasing Gd alloying addition in $MgZn_{1.2}Gd_xZr_{0.18}$ alloys resulted in the second
34 phase transformation from $MgZn_2$ phase, W-phase to X-phase, increased second phases
35 volume fraction, decreased grain sizes and basal plane texture intensity. The immersion
36 test, electrochemical measurement and corrosion morphologies manifested that the
37 extruded $MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{2.0}Zr_{0.18}$ alloy had the superior corrosion resistance.

38 (2) The X-phase and W-phase were nobler than the matrix to accelerate the matrix
39 micro-galvanic corrosion, and the $MgZn_2$ phase also acted as micro-galvanic cathode
40 during corrosion. However, the lower localized potential of X-phase acted as micro-
41 galvanic anode compared with W-phase, and the lamellar-like X-phase played
42 corrosion shielding effect to reduce the corrosion area of matrix.

43 (3) Through hot extrusion and Gd addition, XPS result of the E3 alloy and decreased

1 grain size induced the existence of protective Gd_2O_3 and MgO mixed oxidation product
2 layer to alleviate the penetration of Cl^- .

3 (4) The improved corrosion resistance of high Gd containing extruded
4 $MgZn_{1.2}Gd_{2.0}Zr_{0.18}$ alloy was mainly related to the fine and homogeneous
5 microstructure, dual-role of X-phase, existence of Gd_2O_3 and MgO protective layer as
6 well as the basal crystallographic texture.

7 **Acknowledgements**

8 This research was financially supported by the National Key Research and
9 Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFB0301101), the National Natural
10 Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51971054) and the Fundamental Research
11 Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Nos. N180904006 and N2009006).

12 **Data availability**

13 The raw/processed data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this
14 time as the data also forms part of an ongoing study.

15 **Competing interests statement**

16 The authors declare they have no competing interests.

17 **References**

- 18 [1] J.H. Zhang, S.J. Liu, R.Z. Wu, L.G. Hou, M.L. Zhang, Recent developments in high-strength
19 Mg-RE-based alloys: Focusing on Mg-Gd and Mg-Y systems, *J. Magnes. Alloy.* 6 (2018) 277-291.
20 [2] X.J. Wang, D.K. Xu, R.Z. Wu, X.B. Chen, Q.M. Peng, L. Jin, Y.C. Xin, Z.Q. Zhang, Y. Liu, X.H.
21 Chen, G. Chen, K.K. Deng, H.Y. Wang, What is going on in magnesium alloys, *J. Mater. Sci.*
22 *Technol.* 34 (2018) 245-247.
23 [3] K. Guan, F.Z. Meng, P.F. Qin, Q. Yang, D.D. Zhang, B.S. Li, W. Sun, S.H. Lv, Y.D. Huang, N.
24 Horte, J. Meng, Effects of samarium content on microstructure and mechanical properties of Mg-
25 $0.5Zn-0.5Zr$ alloy, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 35 (2019) 1368-1377.
26 [4] W.T. Jia, L.F. Ma, Q.C. Le, C.C. Zhi, P.T. Liu, Deformation and fracture behaviors of AZ31B
27 Mg alloy at elevated temperature under uniaxial compression, *J. Alloys Compd.* 783 (2019) 863-876.
28 [5] B.J. Wang, D.K. Xu, S.D. Wang, L.Y. Sheng, R.C. Zeng, E.H. Han, Influence of solution
29 treatment on the corrosion fatigue behavior of an as-forged Mg-Zn-Y-Zr alloy. *Int. J. Fatigue* 120
30 (2019) 46-55.
31 [6] N. Birbilis, M.A. Easton, A.D. Sudholz, S.M. Zhu, M.A. Gibson, On the corrosion of binary
32 magnesium-rare earth alloys, *Corros. Sci.* 51 (2009) 683-689.
33 [7] A. Atrens, G.L. Song, M. Liu, Z.M. Shi, F.Y. Cao, M.S. Dargusch, Review of recent
34 developments in the field of magnesium corrosion, *Adv. Eng. Mater.* 17 (2015) 400-453.
35 [8] R.C. Zeng, L. Sun, Y.F. Zheng, H.Z. Cui, E.H. Han, Corrosion and characterisation of dual phase
36 Mg-Li-Ca alloy in Hank's solution: The influence of microstructural features, *Corros. Sci.* 79 (2014)
37 69-82.
38 [9] C.C. Zhi, L.F. Ma, Q.X. Huang, Z.Q. Huang, J.B. Lin, Improvement of magnesium alloy edge
39 cracks by multi-cross rolling, *J. Mater. Process. Tech.* 255 (2018) 333-339.
40 [10] F.F. Cao, K.K. Deng, K.B. Nie, J.W. Kang, H.Y. Niu, Microstructure and corrosion properties

1 of Mg-4Zn-2Gd-0.5Ca alloy influenced by multidirectional forging, *J. Alloys Compd.* 770 (2019)
2 1208-1220.

3 [11] L. Bao, Z.Q. Zhang, Q.C. Le, S.R.L. Zhang, J.Z. Cui, Corrosion behavior and mechanism of
4 Mg-Y-Zn-Zr alloys with various Y/Zn mole ratios, *J. Alloys Compd.* 712 (2017) 15-23.

5 [12] G. Zhang, L. Wu, A.T. Tang, Y.L. Ma, G.L. Song, D.J. Zheng, B. Jiang, A. Atrens, F.S. Pan,
6 Active corrosion protection by a smart coating based on a MgAl-layered double hydroxide on a
7 cerium-modified plasma electrolytic oxidation coating on Mg alloy AZ31, *Corros. Sci.* 139 (2018)
8 370-382.

9 [13] S. Izumi, M. Yamasaki, Y. Kawamura, Relation between corrosion behavior and microstructure
10 of Mg-Zn-Y alloys prepared by rapid solidification at various cooling rates, *Corros. Sci.* 51 (2009)
11 395-402.

12 [14] Z.Q. Zhang, X. Liu, W.Y. Hu, J.H. Li, Q.C. Le, L. Bao, Z.J. Zhu, J.Z. Cui, Microstructures,
13 mechanical properties and corrosion behaviors of Mg-Y-Zn-Zr alloys with specific Y/Zn mole ratios,
14 *J. Alloys Compd.* 624 (2015) 116-125.

15 [15] S. Leleu, B. Rives, J. Bour, N. Causse, N. Pébère, On the stability of the oxides film formed on
16 a magnesium alloy containing rare-earth elements, *Electrochim. Acta* 290 (2018) 586-594.

17 [16] F. Zhong, H.J. Wu, Y.L. Jiao, R.Z. Wu, J.H. Zhang, L.G. Hou, M.L. Zhang, Effect of Y and Ce
18 on the microstructure, mechanical properties and anisotropy of as-rolled Mg-8Li-1Al alloy, *J. Mater.*
19 *Sci. Technol.* 39 (2020) 124-134.

20 [17] Q. Yang, K. Guan, B.S. Li, F.Z. Meng, S.H. Lv, Z.J. Yu, X.H. Zhang, J.Q. Zhang, J. Meng,
21 Coexistence of 14H and 18R-type long-period stacking ordered (LPSO) phases following a novel
22 orientation relationship in a cast Mg-Al-RE-Zn alloy, *J. Alloys Compd.* 766 (2018) 902-907.

23 [18] M. Duan, L. Luo, Y. Liu, Microstructural evolution of AZ31 Mg alloy with surface mechanical
24 attrition treatment: grain and texture gradient, *J. Alloys Compd.* (2020) 153691-11.

25 [19] C.Q. Li, D.K. Xu, Z.R. Zeng, B.J. Wang, L.Y. Sheng, X.B. Chen, E.H. Han, Effect of volume
26 fraction of LPSO phases on corrosion and mechanical properties of Mg-Zn-Y alloys, *Mater. Des.* 121
27 (2017) 430-441.

28 [20] F.M. Lu, A.B. Ma, J.H. Jiang, D.H. Yang, D. Song, Y.C. Yuan, J. Chen, Effect of multi-pass
29 equal channel angular pressing on microstructure and mechanical properties of Mg_{97.1}Zn₁Gd_{1.8}Zr_{0.1}
30 alloy, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 594 (2014) 330-333.

31 [21] S.Q. Yin, Z.Q. Zhang, J.M. Yu, Z.L. Zhao, M. Liu, L. Bao, Z. Jia, J.Z. Cui, P. Wang, Achieving
32 excellent superplasticity of Mg-7Zn-5Gd-0.6Zr alloy at low temperature regime, *Sci. Rep.* 9 (2019)
33 4365-4378.

34 [22] L.X. Wang, R.B. Song, F. Gao, C.H. Cai, L. Huang, Microstructure, mechanical properties and
35 Corrosion Behavior of Mg-Zn-Zr alloys with different compositions, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* (2017) 477-
36 485.

37 [23] S.Q. Yin, Z.Q. Zhang, X. Liu, Q.C. Le, Q. Lan, L. Bao, J.Z. Cui, Effects of Zn/Gd ratio on the
38 microstructures and mechanical properties of Mg-Zn-Gd-Zr alloys, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 695 (2017)
39 135-143.

40 [24] J.H. Nordlien, K. Nisancioglu, Morphology and structure of water-formed oxides on ternary
41 MgAl alloys, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 144 (1997) 461-466.

42 [25] H. Feng, S.H. Liu, Y. Du, T. Lei, R.C. Zeng, T.C. Yuan, Effect of the second phases on corrosion
43 behavior of the Mg-Al-Zn alloys, *J. Alloys Compd.* 695 (2017) 2330-2338.

44 [26] S.Q. Yin, W.C. Duan, W.H. Liu, L. Wu, J.M. Yu, Z.L. Zhao, M. Liu, P. Wang, J.Z. Cui, Z.Q.

1 Zhang, Influence of specific second phases on corrosion behaviors of Mg-Zn-Gd-Zr alloys, *Corros.*
2 *Sci.* 2019, 108419.

3 [27] C. Zhang, L. Wu, G.S. Huang, K. Liu, B. Jiang, G.G. Wang, D.B. Xia, A. Atrens, F.S. Pan,
4 Influence of microalloying with Ca and Ce on the corrosion behavior of extruded Mg-3Al-1Zn, *J.*
5 *Electrochem. Soc.* 166 (2019) 445-453.

6 [28] D. Eliezer, Magnesium science, technology and applications, *Adv. Perform. Mater.* 5 (1998)
7 201-212.

8 [29] R. Arrabal, E. Matykina, A. Pardo, M.C. Merino, K. Paucar, M. Mohedano, P. Casajús,
9 Corrosion behaviour of AZ91D and AM50 magnesium alloys with Nd and Gd additions in humid
10 environments, *Corros. Sci.* 55 (2012) 351-362.

11 [30] T. Takenaka, T. Ono, Y.J. Narazaki, Y. Naka, M. Kawakami, Improvement of corrosion
12 resistance of magnesium metal by rare earth elements, *Electrochim. Acta* 53 (2007) 117-121.

13 [31] J.H. Liu, Y.W. Song, J.C. Chen, P. Chen, D.Y. Shan, E.H. Han, The special role of anodic second
14 phases in the micro-galvanic corrosion of EW75 Mg alloy, *Electrochim. Acta* 189 (2016) 190-195.

15 [32] J.Y. Zhang, M. Xu, X.Y. Teng, M. Zuo, Effect of Gd addition on microstructure and corrosion
16 behaviors of Mg-Zn-Y alloy, *J. Magnes. Alloy.* 4 (2016) 319-325.

17 [33] J.F. Wang, W.Y. Jiang, Y. Ma, Y. Li, S. Huang, Substantial corrosion resistance improvement in
18 heat-treated Mg-Gd-Zn alloys with a long period stacking ordered structure, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*
19 203 (2018) 352-361.

20 [34] A. Srinivasan, C. Blawert, Y. Huang, C.L. Mendis, K.U. Kainer, N. Hort, Corrosion behavior
21 of Mg-Gd-Zn based alloys in aqueous NaCl solution, *J. Magnes. Alloy.* 2 (2014) 245-256.

22 [35] J. Liu, L.X. Yang, C.Y. Zhang, B. Zhang, T. Zhang, Y. Li, K.M. Wu, F.H. Wang, Role of the
23 LPSO structure in the improvement of corrosion resistance of Mg-Gd-Zn-Zr alloys, *J. Alloys Compd.*
24 782 (2019) 648-658.

25 [36] H.W. Miao, H. Huang, Y.J. Shi, H. Zhang, J. Pei, G.Y. Yuan, Effects of solution treatment before
26 extrusion on the microstructure, mechanical properties and corrosion of Mg-Zn-Gd alloy in vitro,
27 *Corros. Sci.* 122 (2017) 90-99.

28 [37] S. Zhang, G.Y. Yuan, C. Lu, W.J. Ding, Effect of Zn/Gd ratio on phase constitutions in Mg-Zn-
29 Gd alloys, in: 140th The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society, Magnesium Technology 2011, San
30 Diego, California, February 27-March 3, 2011, p. 157-159.

31 [38] A. Nace, Standard guide for laboratory immersion corrosion testing of metals, ASTM
32 International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2012.

33 [39] M. Stern, A method for determining corrosion rates from linear polarization data, *Corrosion* 14
34 (1958) 60-64.

35 [40] F. Mansfeld, K.B. Oldham, A modification of the Stern-Geary linear polarization equation,
36 *Corros. Sci.* 11 (1971) 787-796.

37 [41] J.W. Chang, X.W. Guo, S.M. He, P.H. Fu, L.M. Peng, W.J. Ding, Investigation of the corrosion
38 for Mg-xGd-3Y-0.4Zr (x = 6, 8, 10, 12 wt.%) alloys in a peak-aged condition, *Corros. Sci.* 50 (2008)
39 166-177.

40 [42] L. Liu, L. Wu, X.B. Chen, D. Sun, Y. Chen, G. Zhang, X.X. Ding, F.S. Pan, Enhanced protective
41 coatings on Ti-10V-2Fe-3Al alloy through anodizing and post-sealing with layered double
42 hydroxides, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 37 (2020) 104-113.

43 [43] P.L. Jiang, C. Blawert, N. Scharnagl, M.L. Zheludkevich, Influence of water purity on the
44 corrosion behavior of Mg_{0.5}ZnX (X=Ca, Ge) alloys, *Corros. Sci.* 153 (2019) 62-73.

- 1 [44] P.L. Jiang, C. Blawert, R.Q. Hou, N. Scharnagl, J. Bohlen, M.L. Zheludkevich, Microstructural
2 influence on corrosion behavior of MgZnGe alloy in NaCl solution, *J. Alloys Compd.* 783 (2019)
3 179-192.
- 4 [45] J.R. Li, B.B. Zhang, Q.Y. Wei, N. Wang, B.R. Hou, Electrochemical behavior of Mg-Al-Zn-In
5 alloy as anode materials in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution, *Electrochim. Acta* 238 (2017) 156-167.
- 6 [46] Z.Y. Cui, F. Ge, Y. Lin, L.W. Wang, L. Lei, H.Y. Tian, M.D. Yu, X. Wang, Corrosion behavior
7 of AZ31 magnesium alloy in the chloride solution containing ammonium nitrate, *Electrochim. Acta*
8 278 (2018) 421-437.
- 9 [47] Z.M. Shi, M. Liu, A. Atrens, Measurement of the corrosion rate of magnesium alloys using
10 Tafel extrapolation, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 579-588.
- 11 [48] M.C. Zhao, M. Liu, G.L. Song, A. Atrens, Influence of pH and chloride ion concentration on
12 the corrosion of Mg alloy ZE41, *Corros. Sci.* 50 (2008) 3168-3178.
- 13 [49] J.W. Chang, X.W. Guo, P.H. Fu, L.M. Peng, W.J. Ding, Effect of heat treatment on corrosion
14 and electrochemical behaviour of Mg-3Nd-0.2Zn-0.4Zr (wt.%) alloy, *Electrochim. Acta* 52 (2007)
15 3160-3167.
- 16 [50] M. Golabadi, M. Aliofkhaezai, M. Toorani, A. Sabour Rouhaghdam, Corrosion and cathodic
17 disbondment resistance of epoxy coating on zinc phosphate conversion coating containing Ni²⁺ and
18 Co²⁺, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 47 (2017) 154-168.
- 19 [51] M.P. Gomes, I. Costa, N. Pébère, J.L. Rossi, B. Tribollet, V. Vivier, On the corrosion mechanism
20 of Mg investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, *Electrochim. Acta* 306 (2019) 61-
21 70.
- 22 [52] P.P. Wu, F.J. Xu, K.K. Deng, F.Y. Han, Z.Z. Zhang, R. Cao, Effect of extrusion on corrosion
23 properties of Mg-2Ca-xAl (x = 0, 2, 3, 5) alloys, *Corros. Sci.* 127 (2017) 280-290.
- 24 [53] M.Z. Ge, J.Y. Xiang, L. Yang, J.T. Wang, Effect of laser shock peening on the stress corrosion
25 cracking of AZ31B magnesium alloy in a simulated body fluid, *Surf. Coating. Technol.* 310 (2017)
26 157-165.
- 27 [54] Y.X. Liu, M. Curioni, Z. Liu, Correlation between electrochemical impedance measurements
28 and corrosion rates of Mg-1Ca alloy in simulated body fluid, *Electrochim. Acta* 264 (2018) 101-
29 108.
- 30 [55] X. Liu, J.L. Xue, and S.Z. Liu, Discharge and corrosion behaviors of the α -Mg and β -Li based
31 Mg alloys for Mg-air batteries at different current densities, *Mater. Des.* 160 (2018) 138-146.
- 32 [56] G.J. Brug, A.L.G. Van Den Eeden, M. Sluyters-Rehbach, J.H. Sluyters, The analysis of
33 electrode impedances complicated by the presence of a constant phase element, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*
34 176 (1984) 275-295.
- 35 [57] B. Tribollet, J. Kittel, A. Meroufel, F. Ropital, F. Grosjean, E.M.M. Sutter, Corrosion
36 mechanisms in aqueous solutions containing dissolved H₂S. Part 2: Model of the cathodic reactions
37 on a 316L stainless steel rotating disc electrode, *Electrochim. Acta* 124 (2014) 46-51.
- 38 [58] M.P. Gomes, I. Costa, N. Pébère, J.L. Rossi, B. Tribollet, V. Vivier, On the corrosion
39 mechanism of Mg investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, *Electrochim. Acta* 306
40 (2019) 61-70.
- 41 [59] M.E. Orazem, I. Frateur, B. Tribollet, V. Vivier, S. Marcelin, N. Pébère, A.L. Bunge, E.A. White,
42 D.P. Riemer, M. Musiani, Dielectric properties of materials showing constant-phase-element (CPE)
43 impedance response, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 160 (2013) 215-225.
- 44 [60] C.H. Hsu, F. Mansfeld, Concerning the conversion of the constant phase element parameter Y_0

- 1 into a capacitance, *Corrosion* 57 (2001) 747-748.
- 2 [61] L.P. Zhong, Y.J. Wang, H. Luo, X.J. Cui, Y.J. Zhang, B.J. Dou, J. Peng, Influence of aging prior
3 to extrusion on the microstructure and corrosion resistance of Mg-8Sn-2Zn-0.2Mn alloy, *J. Alloys*
4 *Compd.* 780 (2019) 783-791.
- 5 [62] U. Konig, B. Davepon, Microstructure of polycrystalline Ti and its microelectrochemical
6 properties by means of electron-backscattering diffraction (EBSD), *Electrochim. Acta* 47 (2001)
7 149-160.
- 8 [63] B. Jiang, Q. Xiang, A. Atrens, J.F. Song, F.S. Pan, Influence of crystallographic texture and
9 grain size on the corrosion behaviour of as-extruded Mg alloy AZ31 sheets, *Corros. Sci.* 126 (2017)
10 374-380.
- 11 [64] J.B. Zhang, L.B. Tong, C. Xu, Z.H. Jiang, L.R. Cheng, S. Kamado, H.J. Zhang, Influence of
12 Ca-Ce/La synergistic alloying on the microstructure and mechanical properties of extruded Mg-Zn
13 alloy, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 708 (2017) 11-20.
- 14 [65] N. Stanford, The effect of calcium on the texture, microstructure and mechanical properties of
15 extruded Mg-Mn-Ca alloys, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 528 (2010) 314-322.
- 16 [66] Y.H. Liu, W.L. Cheng, Y. Zhang, X.F. Niu, H.X. Wang, Microstructure, tensile properties, and
17 corrosion resistance of extruded Mg-1Bi-1Zn alloy: The influence of minor Ca addition, *J. Alloys*
18 *Compd.* 815 (2020) 152414.
- 19 [67] K.D. Ralston, N. Birbilis, Effect of grain size on corrosion: A review, *Corrosion* 66 (2010) 1-
20 13.
- 21 [68] N.N Aung, W. Zhou, Effect of grain size and twins on corrosion behaviour of AZ31B
22 magnesium alloy, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 589-594.
- 23 [69] N. Birbilis, K.D. Ralston, S. Virtanen, H.L. Fraser, C.H.J. Davies, Grain character influences
24 on corrosion of ECAPed pure magnesium, *Br. Corros. J.* 45 (2010) 224-230.
- 25 [70] R. Ambat, N.N Aung, W. Zhou, Evaluation of microstructural effects on corrosion behaviour
26 of AZ91D magnesium alloy, *Corros. Sci.* 42 (2000) 1433-1455.
- 27 [71] R. Ly, A.I. Karayan, K.T. Hartwig, H. Castaneda, Insights into the electrochemical response of
28 a partially recrystallized Al-Mg-Si alloy and its relationship to corrosion events, *Electrochim. Acta*
29 308 (2019) 35-44.
- 30 [72] Y.W. Song, D.Y. Shan, R.S. Chen, F. Zhang, E.H. Han, Formation mechanism of phosphate
31 conversion film on Mg-8.8Li alloy, *Corros. Sci.* 51 (2009) 62-69.
- 32 [73] D. Song, A.B. Ma, J.H. Jiang, P.H. Lin, D.H. Yang, J.F. Fan, Corrosion behavior of equal-
33 channel-angular-pressed pure magnesium in NaCl aqueous solution, *Corros. Sci.* 52 (2010) 481-490.
- 34 [74] L.G. Bland, K. Gusieva, J.R. Scully, Effect of crystallographic orientation on the corrosion of
35 magnesium: Comparison of film forming and bare crystal facets using electrochemical impedance
36 and Raman spectroscopy, *Electrochim. Acta* 227 (2017) 136151.
- 37 [75] J. Kubásek, D. Vojtěch, Structural and corrosion characterization of biodegradable Mg-RE
38 (RE=Gd, Y, Nd) alloys, *T. Nonferr. Met. Soc.* 23 (2013) 1215-1225.
- 39 [76] Y. Li, W.L. Xiao, F. Wang, T. Hu, C.L. Ma, The roles of long period stacking ordered structure
40 and Zn solute in the hot deformation behavior of Mg-Gd-Zn alloys, *J. Alloys Compd.* 745 (2018) 33-
41 43.
- 42 [\[77\] L.X. Wang, R.B. Song, C.H. Cai, J.Y. Li, Enhanced strength and corrosion resistance of Mg-](#)
43 [2Zn-0.6Zr alloy with extrusion, *Acta Metall. Sin-Engl.* 32 \(2019\) 10-22.](#)
- 44 [\[78\] Y.W. Song, E.H. Han, D.Y. Shan, C.D. Yim, B.S. You, The role of second phases in the](#)

1 [corrosion behavior of Mg-5Zn alloy, Corros. Sci. 60 \(2012\) 238-245.](#)
2 [\[79\] Y.F. Zheng, X.N. Gu, F. Witte, Biodegradable metals, Mater. Sci. Eng. R 77 \(2014\) 1-34.](#)
3 [\[80\] M. Mandal, A.P. Moon, G. Deo, C.L. Mendis, K. Mondal, Corrosion behavior of Mg-2.4Zn](#)
4 [alloy micro-alloyed with Ag and Ca, Corros. Sci. 78 \(2014\) 172-182.](#)
5 [\[81\] X. Lu, G.Q. Zhao, J.X. Zhou, C.S. Zhang, L. Chen, S.Q. Tang, Microstructure and mechanical](#)
6 [properties of Mg-3.0Zn-1.0Sn-0.3Mn0.3Ca alloy extruded at different temperatures, J. Alloy.](#)
7 [Compound. 732 \(2018\) 257-269.](#)
8 [\[82\] T. Li, H. Zhang, Y. He, X. Wang, Comparison of corrosion behavior of Mg-1.5Zn-0.6Zr and](#)
9 [AZ91D alloys in a NaCl solution, Mater. Corros. 66 \(2015\) 7-15.](#)
10 [\[83\] T. Li, Y. He, H.L. Zhang, X.T. Wang, Microstructure, mechanical property and in vitro](#)
11 [biocorrosion behavior of single-phase biodegradable Mg-1.5Zn-0.6Zr alloy, J. Magnes. Alloy. 2](#)
12 [\(2014\) 181-189.](#)
13 [\[84\] J.X. Chen, L.L. Tan, X.M. Yu, K. Yang, Effect of minor content of Gd on the mechanical and](#)
14 [degradable properties of as-cast Mg-2Zn-xGd-0.5Zr alloys, J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 35 \(2019\) 503-](#)
15 [511.](#)
16 [\[85\] C.H. Cai, R.B. Song, L.X. Wang, J.Y. Li, Effect of anodic T phase on surface micro-galvanic](#)
17 [corrosion of biodegradable Mg-Zn-Zr-Nd alloys, Appl. Surf. Sci. 462 \(2018\) 243-254.](#)
18 [\[86\] Y. Yin, Q.L. Huang, L.X. Liang, X.B. Hu, T. Liu, Y.Z. Weng, T. Long, Y. Liu, Q.X. Li, S.Q. Zhou,](#)
19 [H. Wu, In vitro degradation behavior and cytocompatibility of ZK30/bioactive glass composites](#)
20 [fabricated by selective laser melting for biomedical applications, J. Alloy. Compound. 785 \(2019\)](#)
21 [38-45.](#)
22 [\[87\] Z.G. Huan, M.A. LeeFlang, J. Zhou, L.E. Fratila-Apachitei, J. Duszczyk, In vitro degradation](#)
23 [behavior and cytocompatibility of Mg-Zn-Zr alloys, J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 21 \(2010\) 2623-](#)
24 [2635.](#)
25 [\[88\] X.Y. Ye, M.F. Chen, M. Yang, J. Wei, D.B. Liu, In vitro corrosion resistance and](#)
26 [cytocompatibility of nano-hydroxyapatite reinforced Mg-Zn-Zr composites, J. Mater. Sci. 21 \(2010\)](#)
27 [1321-1328.](#)
28 [\[89\] D.H. Hong a, P. Saha a, D.T. Chou, B. Lee, B.E. Collins, Z.Q. Tan, Z.Y. Dong, P.N. Kumta, In](#)
29 [vitro degradation and cytotoxicity response of Mg-4% Zn-0.5% Zr \(ZK40\) alloy as a potential](#)
30 [biodegradable material, Acta Biomater. 9 \(2013\) 8534-8547.](#)
31 [\[90\] X.N. Gu, N. Li, Y.F. Zheng, L.Q. Ruan, In vitro degradation performance and biological](#)
32 [response of a Mg-Zn-Zr alloy, Mater. Sci. Eng. B 176 \(2011\) 1778-1784.](#)
33 [\[91\] X. Lin, L.L. Tan, Q. Zhang, K. Yang, Z.Q. Hu, J.H. Qiu, Y. Cai, The in vitro degradation process](#)
34 [and biocompatibility of a ZK60 magnesium alloy with a forsterite-containing micro-arc oxidation](#)
35 [coating, Acta Biomater. 9 \(2013\) 8631-8642.](#)
36 [\[92\] H.T. Zhou, Z.D. Zhang, C.M. Liu, Q.W. Wang, Effect of Nd and Y on the microstructure and](#)
37 [mechanical properties of ZK60 alloy, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 445-446 \(2007\) 1-6.](#)
38 [\[93\] B.J. Wang, D.K. Xu, J. Sun, E.H. Han, Effect of grain structure on the stress corrosion cracking](#)
39 [\(SCC\) behavior of an as-extruded Mg-Zn-Zr alloy, Corros. Sci. 157 \(2019\) 347-356.](#)

1 **List of Figures**

2 **Fig. 1.** XRD patterns of cast and extruded alloys (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1:
3 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C:
4 cast condition; E: extruded condition).

5 **Fig. 2.** Microstructures of the experimental alloys: (a₁), (a₂), (a₃), (a₄) optical
6 microstructures of C0, C1, C2 and C3 alloys; (b₁), (b₂), (b₃), (b₄) EBSD pictures of E0,
7 E1, E2 and E3 alloys; (c₁), (c₂), (c₃), (c₄) grain size distribution diagrams of E0, E1, E2
8 and E3 alloys (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2:
9 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded
10 condition).

11 **Fig. 3.** SEM images of the alloys: (a₁), (a₂), (a₃), (a₄) C0, C1, C2 and C3 alloys; (b₁),
12 (b₂), (b₃), (b₄) E0, E1, E2 and E3 alloys (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1:
13 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C:
14 cast condition; E: extruded condition).

15 **Fig. 4.** Statistic of the grain sizes and second phase volume fractions of the alloys (C0
16 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$;
17 C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded condition).

18 **Fig. 5.** The OCP and potentiodynamic polarization curves of the alloys in 3.5 wt.%
19 NaCl solution: (a) OCP curves; (b) polarization curves (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1
20 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3:
21 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded condition).

22 **Fig. 6.** Corrosion rates comparison of the alloys evaluated through weight loss and Tafel
23 extrapolation method after immersion for 24 h in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution (C0 and E0:
24 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and
25 E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded condition).

26 **Fig. 7.** EIS plots of the alloys in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution: (a) Nyquist plots; (b) Bode
27 plots of phase angle vs. frequency and impedance modulus vs. frequency (C0 and E0:
28 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and
29 E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded condition).

30 **Fig. 8.** Fitted equivalent circuits accompanied with the scheme of the layers: (a) C0, C1,
31 C2, E0 and E2 alloys; (b) C3, E1 and E3 alloys (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1:
32 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C:
33 cast condition; E: extruded condition).

34 **Fig. 9.** SKPFM images of the alloys: (a), (b) and (c) surface Volta potential maps of C2,
35 C3 and E3 alloys; (d), (e) and (f) Volta potential line-profile of second phases adjacent
36 to Mg matrix (C2: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition;
37 E: extruded condition).

38 **Fig. 10.** SEM morphologies of the alloys after 2 h and 24 h immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl
39 solution without corrosion products and prior to corrosion products removal (the upper
40 right corner): (a) C0 and E0 alloys; (b) C1 and E1 alloys; (c) C2 and E2 alloys; (d) C3
41 and E3 alloys (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2:
42 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded
43 condition).

44 **Fig. 11.** SEM images at high magnifications of the alloys after different time intervals

1 immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution without corrosion products: (a) 2 h; (b) 6 h; (c)
2 12 h; (d) 24 h (C0 and E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C1 and E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C2 and E2:
3 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C3 and E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; C: cast condition; E: extruded
4 condition).

5 **Fig. 12.** SEM morphologies of longitudinal section in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for 24 h:
6 (a) C2 (cast $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$) alloy; (b) C3 (cast $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$) alloy.

7 **Fig. 13.** XPS analysis of formed corrosion film of E3 (extruded $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$)
8 alloy: (a) Survey scanning spectrum in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for 2 h and quiescence
9 in dried air for 24 h; (b) atomic percent profile with the etch time in 3.5 wt.% NaCl
10 solution for 2 h; (c), (d) high resolution Mg 1s spectrum; (e), (f) high resolution O 1s
11 spectrum; (g), (h) high resolution Gd 4d spectrum.

12 **Fig. 14.** EBSD orientation maps, $\{0002\}$ and $\{11-20\}$ pole figures for: (a) E0; (b) E1
13 alloy; (c) E2 alloy; (d) E3 alloy (E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E2:
14 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E: extruded condition).

15 **Fig. 15.** Schematic representation of the grain size and corrosion product layer
16 combined effects: (a) E1 (extruded $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$) alloy; (b) E3 (extruded
17 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$) alloy.

18 **Fig. 16.** Corrosion mechanism schematic of the extruded alloys: (a) E0 alloy; (b) E1
19 alloy; (c) E2 alloy; (d) E3 alloy (E0: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E1: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{0.8}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E2:
20 $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{1.4}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$; E3: $\text{MgZn}_{1.2}\text{Gd}_{2.0}\text{Zr}_{0.18}$).

1 **List of Tables**

2

Alloy	Nominal constituent	Actual constituent			Analyzed composition (wt.%)			
		(at.%)			Fe	Ni	Cu	Mg
		Zn	Gd	Zr				
C0	MgZn _{1.2} Zr _{0.18}	1.20	0	0.18	0.0021	0.0018	0.0019	Bal.
C1	MgZn _{1.2} Gd _{0.8} Zr _{0.18}	1.19	0.78	0.18	0.0020	0.0017	0.0018	Bal.
C2	MgZn _{1.2} Gd _{1.4} Zr _{0.18}	1.18	1.39	0.18	0.0018	0.0017	0.0016	Bal.
C3	MgZn _{1.2} Gd _{2.0} Zr _{0.18}	1.18	2.00	0.17	0.0019	0.0017	0.0019	Bal.

3 **Table 1.** Chemical constituents of the investigated alloys.

4

Alloys	Average grain size (μm)	Second phase volume fractions (%)				
		X-phase	W-phase	Mg ₅ (Gd, Zn)	MgZn ₂	α -Mg
C0	104 \pm 10	0	0	0	0.8	99.2
C1	74 \pm 9	0	14.8	0	0	85.2
C2	68 \pm 11	0	18.7	0	0	81.3
C3	57 \pm 11	5.4	18.1	0.4	0	76.1
E0	27 \pm 3	0	0	0	0.8	99.2
E1	7 \pm 1	0	15.2	0	0	84.8
E2	5 \pm 1	0	18.5	0	0	81.5
E3	3 \pm 1	8.7	15.3	0	0	76.0

5 **Table 2.** The statistic average grain sizes and second phase volume fractions of the

6 alloys.

7

Alloy	<i>OCP</i> (V)	<i>E</i> _{corr} (V)	<i>I</i> _{corr} ($\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$)	<i>P</i> _i (mm/year)
C0	-1.604 \pm 0.010	-1.522 \pm 0.029	117.29 \pm 5.27	6.63 \pm 0.54
C1	-1.596 \pm 0.008	-1.473 \pm 0.024	123.87 \pm 3.44	9.84 \pm 0.82
C2	-1.611 \pm 0.012	-1.527 \pm 0.031	235.86 \pm 7.58	11.73 \pm 1.31
C3	-1.591 \pm 0.018	-1.567 \pm 0.019	103.91 \pm 3.21	4.95 \pm 0.55
E0	-1.593 \pm 0.013	-1.462 \pm 0.022	84.03 \pm 4.58	3.78 \pm 0.33
E1	-1.577 \pm 0.009	-1.538 \pm 0.027	82.24 \pm 2.04	3.62 \pm 0.43
E2	-1.588 \pm 0.011	-1.542 \pm 0.016	109.26 \pm 2.27	6.28 \pm 0.34
E3	-1.572 \pm 0.015	-1.546 \pm 0.027	48.96 \pm 0.74	2.02 \pm 0.22

8 **Table 3.** Fitting parameters derived from the OCP and polarization curves of the alloys.

9
10
11
12

Alloy	<i>R</i> _s	<i>CPE</i> _{dl}	<i>R</i> _{ct}	<i>CPE</i> _f	<i>R</i> _f	<i>L</i>	<i>R</i> _L	χ^2
-------	-----------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	----------	-----------------------	----------

	$(\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	$Y_1 (\mu\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^n)$	n_1	$(\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	$Y_2 (\mu\Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^n)$	n_2	$(\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	(H cm^2)	$(\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	
C0	8.9	28.75	0.95	146.7	11.35	0.71	2129.2	3.39×10^3	407.1	8.98×10^{-4}
C1	7.1	17.59	0.94	195.1	8.46	0.87	2113.3	3.45×10^3	412.4	3.02×10^{-5}
C2	8.6	34.27	0.91	125.8	13.97	0.74	2132.1	3.48×10^3	310.6	1.28×10^{-4}
C3	9.2	15.61	0.93	460.9				9.56×10^3	926.4	2.23×10^{-5}
E0	9.7	16.88	0.91	207.6	8.17	0.76	2289.6	8.74×10^3	659.0	7.17×10^{-4}
E1	10.7	11.60	0.92	529.5				1.42×10^4	761.2	4.52×10^{-4}
E2	11.6	16.33	0.96	216.8	7.09	0.69	2349.7	7.17×10^3	829.3	4.94×10^{-3}
E3	9.9	8.84	0.94	625.6				2.38×10^4	1379.1	7.39×10^{-4}

1 **Table 4.** Fitting electrochemical parameters from the EIS measurement.

2

<u>Alloy (wt.%, condition)</u>	<u>Solution</u>	<u>Corrosion properties</u>		<u>Mechanical properties</u>			<u>Refs.</u>
		<u>Measurement</u>	<u>Corrosion rate</u> (mm year ⁻¹)	<u>Ultimate tensile</u> strength (MPa)	<u>Tensile yield</u> strength (MPa)	<u>Elongation</u> (%)	
<u>Mg-1Zn, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>1.25</u>		<u>60</u>	<u>14.5</u>	<u>[77]</u>
<u>Mg-2.4Zn, cast</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>4.67</u>	<u>85</u>		<u>7.5</u>	<u>[78, 79]</u>
<u>Mg-2.4Zn, cast</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_i</u>	<u>1.56</u>	<u>85</u>		<u>7.5</u>	<u>[79, 80]</u>
<u>Mg-3Zn, extruded</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>9.6</u>	<u>270</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>16.5</u>	<u>[81]</u>
<u>Mg-3Zn, extruded</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>0.82</u>		<u>118</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>[77]</u>
<u>Mg-5Zn, extruded</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>15.6</u>	<u>275</u>		<u>15.7</u>	<u>[78, 79]</u>
<u>Mg-1.5Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>4.01</u>	<u>168</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>9.1</u>	<u>[82]</u>
<u>Mg-1.5Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_i</u>	<u>1.28</u>	<u>168</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>9.1</u>	<u>[82]</u>
<u>Mg-1.5Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>0.3045</u>	<u>168</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>9.1</u>	<u>[82, 83]</u>
<u>Mg-2Zn-0.5Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>2.5</u>				<u>[84]</u>
<u>Mg-2Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>SBF</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>0.64</u>	<u>196</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>[85, 22]</u>
<u>Mg-2Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_H</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>192</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>[77]</u>
<u>Mg-2Zn-0.6Zr, extruded</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_H</u>	<u>0.42</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>194</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>[77]</u>
<u>Mg-3Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>SBF</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>[22, 86]</u>
<u>Mg-3Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>6.56</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>[22]</u>
<u>Mg-3Zn-0.6Zr, extruded</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_H</u>	<u>1.02</u>	<u>300</u>	<u>215</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>[87]</u>
<u>Mg-3Zn-0.7Zr, cast</u>	<u>SBF</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>2.74</u>				<u>[88]</u>
<u>Mg-4Zn-0.5Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>0.74</u>	<u>176</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>[89]</u>
<u>Mg-4Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>0.85</u>		<u>91</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>[77]</u>
<u>Mg-4Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>0.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_H</u>	<u>0.51</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>12.8</u>	<u>[89]</u>
<u>Mg-6Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>1.12</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>[89, 90]</u>
<u>Mg-6Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_i</u>	<u>0.932</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>[89, 91]</u>
<u>Mg-6Zn-0.6Zr, cast</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>8.2</u>	<u>230</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>[91, 92]</u>
<u>Mg-6Zn-0.6Zr, extruded</u>	<u>Hank</u>	<u>P_W</u>	<u>0.81</u>	<u>335</u>	<u>290</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>[89, 90]</u>
<u>Mg-6Zn-0.6Zr, extruded</u>	<u>3.5 wt.% NaCl</u>	<u>P_H</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>315</u>	<u>235</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>[89, 93]</u>

3 (weight loss is represented by P_W , hydrogen evolution is represented by P_H , Tafel extrapolation method is represented by P_i)

4 **Table 5.** Corrosion performance and corresponding mechanical properties of Mg-Zn
5 (-Zr) alloys summarized from literatures.